
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We especially appreciate the time and effort of several hundred people who responded to our surveys on behalf of their agencies. We are also grateful to all those we interviewed and those who participated in focus groups.

We would like to thank the Consortium of Forensic Science Organizations (CFSO)¹ for their exceptional cooperation with this study. Most notably, Barry Fisher and Kevin Lothridge provided invaluable assistance in the area of forensic science.

Robert Greenberg provided material on the background of federal technology-related initiatives assisting state and local law enforcement agencies.

George Tita conducted interviews with police and sheriffs' department officials in several cities.

We relied on Sarah Cotton for help in designing the survey instruments and managing survey operations. RAND staff members Pat Ebener, Don Solosan, Linda Daly, Tim Vernier, and Ann Deville worked on the survey instruments. Amber Schroeder, Yvonne Hung,

¹CFSO members are: the American Academy of Forensic Sciences (AAFS), American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors (ASCLD), American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB), International Association for Identification (IAI), National Association of Medical Examiners (NAME), National Center for Forensic Science (NCFS), and National Forensic Science Technology Center (NFTSC).

April Duran, Mina Kimmerling, Eric Derghazaria, and Mary Lou Gilbert made telephone calls in support of the police survey.

Ronald Fricker oversaw survey sampling and analysis. Jenny Pace and David Klein conducted analysis of police survey data.

Several people reviewed earlier drafts of this report and offered helpful suggestions for improving it. These include: Susan Ballou, Gary Corder, Raymond Downs, Lisa Forman, Peter Greenwood, Robert Greeves, Jerry Howell, Jack Riley, Peggy Ritchie-Matsumoto, John Stedman, and Lois Tully. What deficiencies remain are, of course, due to the authors.