

---

---

## TABLES

---

1. Technologies Not Available to Local Police . . . . .	xvii
2. Technologies in Need of Replacement by Local Police . . . . .	xix
3. Local Law Enforcement Agency Ratings of Technology-Related Needs . . . . .	xxix
4. State Law Enforcement Agency Ratings of Technology-Related Needs . . . . .	xxix
5. Priorities of Forensic Labs Surveyed . . . . .	xxxi
6. Types of LTL Weapons Authorized or in Use by Local Departments . . . . .	33
7. Safety and Effectiveness of LTL Weapons and Tactics . . . . .	35
8. Factors Limiting Future Acquisition or Use of Smart Guns . . . . .	39
9. Use of Vehicle Stopping/Tracking Technologies by Local Police . . . . .	41
10. Counter-Terrorism Technology Available to Local Departments . . . . .	43
11. Percent of State and Local Police Receiving Cybercrime Investigation or Analysis Support from Various Sources Within Past Year . . . . .	51
12. Percent of Agencies with Primary Responsibility for Court-Related Functions, 1997 . . . . .	52
13. Percent of Labs Likely to Perform All or Most Tests, by Type of Crime . . . . .	57
14. Factors Limiting Analysis, by Type of Case . . . . .	58
15. Distribution of Evidence Received by Laboratories . . .	59

16. Reasons Cited for Problems in Conducting Firearms Analyses . . . . .	61
17. Reasons Cited for Problems in Conducting Trace Evidence Analyses . . . . .	64
18. Quality of Laboratory Technologies in Use . . . . .	66
19. Factors Limiting Future Acquisition/Use of DNA Methodology . . . . .	72
20. Reasons Cited for Problems in Conducting DNA Analyses . . . . .	72
21. Stated Priorities of Laboratory Needs . . . . .	73
22. Stated Priority of Technology for Improving Accountability Within Agency . . . . .	105
23. Crime Mapping and Analysis by Local Police, by Population Served . . . . .	106
24. Internet Use by Local Police . . . . .	109
25. Sources of Technology Information Used by Police . . . . .	125
26. Percent of Local Departments Receiving Technology-Related Support from Various Sources Within Past Year . . . . .	126
27. Equipment Testing Program . . . . .	139
28. Percent of Local Police Receiving Requested Federal Technology Assistance During Past Year, by Population Served . . . . .	145
29. Percent of Local Police Receiving Requested Advice from Federal Agencies on Selecting Technology during Past Year, by Population Served . . . . .	149
30. Technologies Not Available to Local Police . . . . .	155
31. Technologies in Need of Replacement by Local Police . . . . .	156
32. Survey Response Rates for Police and Sheriffs' Departments . . . . .	168
33. Survey Response Rates for Local Police . . . . .	169
34. Departments Forced into the Police Survey Sample . . . . .	170
35. Agencies Responding to Forensics Survey . . . . .	174