

---

## REFERENCES

---

- Ambach, G. (1991). "The essential federal role." In *Voices from the field: 30 expert opinions on America 2000, the Bush Administration strategy to "reinvent" America's schools*. Washington, DC: The William T. Grant Foundation on Work, Family, and Citizenship and the Institute for Educational Leadership, pp. 39–40.
- American Association of School Administrators. (1995). *Great expectations: Understanding the new Title I*. Washington, DC: AASA.
- Ball, D. L., Camburn, E., Cohen, D. K., & Rowan, B. (1998). *Instructional improvement and disadvantaged students*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Michigan.
- Berends, M. (1999). *Assessing the progress of New American Schools: A status report*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-1085-EDU).
- Berends, M. (2000). "Teacher-reported effects of New American School designs: Exploring the relationships to teacher background and school context." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 22(1), pp. 65–82.
- Berends, M., & King, M. B. (1994). "A description of restructuring in nationally nominated schools: The legacy of the iron cage?" *Educational Policy*, 8(1), pp. 28–50. (Also RAND RP-458.)
- Berends, M., & Kirby, S. N. (2000). *Analyzing state assessment data within the context of federal evaluations of Title I and the Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration (CSRD) programs*. Washington, DC: RAND, unpublished manuscript.

- Berends, M., Bodilly, S., & Kirby, S. N. (forthcoming). "Reforming Whole Schools: Challenges and Complexities." In J. Petrovich & A. W. Wells (Eds.), *Bringing Equity Back*.
- Berends, M., Chun, J., Schuyler, G., Stockly, S., & Briggs, R. J. (2002). *Conflicting school reforms: Effects of New American Schools in a high-poverty district*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-1438-EDU).
- Berends, M., Grissmer, D. W., Kirby, S. N., & Williamson, S. (1999). "The changing American family and student achievement trends." *Review of Sociology of Education and Socialization*, 23, pp. 67–101.
- Berends, M., Kirby, S. N., Naftel, S., & McKelvey, C. (2001). *Implementation and performance in New American Schools: Three years into scale-up*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-1145-EDU).
- Berman, P., & McLaughlin, M. (1975). *Federal programs supporting educational change, vol. IV: The findings in review*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (R-1589/4-HEW).
- Bikson, T. K., & Eveland, J. D. (1992). *Integrating new tools into information work: Technology transfer as a framework for understanding success*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (RP-106).
- Bikson, T. K., & Eveland, J. D. (1998). *Groupware implementation: Reinvention in the sociotechnical frame*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (RP-703).
- Bikson, T. K., Law, S. A., Markovich, M., & Harder, B. T. (1997). *Facilitating the implementation of research findings: A summary report*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (RP-595).
- Bodilly, S. (1996). *Lessons from New American Schools Development Corporation's demonstration phase*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-729-NASDC).
- Bodilly, S. J. (1998). *Lessons from New American Schools' scale-up phase: Prospects for bringing designs to multiple schools*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-1777-NAS).
- Bodilly, S. (2001). *New American Schools' concept of break the mold designs: How designs evolved and why*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-1288-NAS).

- Bodilly, S. J., & Berends, M. (1999). "Necessary district support for comprehensive school reform." In Orfield, G., & DeBray, E. H. (Eds.), *Hard work for good schools: Facts not fads in Title I reform*. Boston: The Civil Rights Project, Harvard University, pp. 111–119.
- Bodilly, S., Purnell, S., Ramsey, K., & Smith, C. (1995). *Designing New American Schools: Baseline observations on nine design teams*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-598-NASDC).
- Borman, K. M., Cookson, Jr., P. W., Sadovnik, A. R., & Spade, J. Z. (Eds.) (1996). *Implementing educational reform: Sociological perspectives on educational policy*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Bratton, S. E., Horn, S. P., & Wright, S. P. (1996). *Using and interpreting Tennessee's Value-Added Assessment System: A primer for teachers and principals*. Knoxville, TN: Value-Added Research and Assessment Center, University of Tennessee.
- Bryk, A. S., & Raudenbush, S. W. (1992). *Hierarchical linear models: Applications and data analysis methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Bryk, A. S., Lee, V., & Holland, P. (1993). *Catholic schools and the common good*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.
- Bryk, A. S., Raudenbush, S. W., and Cogdan, R. T. (1996). *HLM: Hierarchical linear and nonlinear modeling with the HLM/2L and HLM/3L programs*. Chicago: Scientific Software International.
- Bryk, A. S., Thum, Y., Eaton, M. J. Q., & Luppescu, S. (1998). *Academic productivity of Chicago public elementary schools*. Chicago: Consortium on Chicago School Research.
- Burstein, L., McDonnell, L. M., Van Winkle, J., Ormseth, T., Mirocha, J., & Guitton, G. (1995). *Validating national curriculum indicators*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-658-NSF).
- Chun, J., Gill, B., & Heilbrunn, J. (2001). *The relationship between implementation and achievement: Case studies of New American Schools*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (DRU-2562-EDU).

- Clune, W. (1998). *Toward a theory of systemic reform: The case of nine NSF statewide systemic initiatives*. Madison, WI: Wisconsin Center for Education Research, University of Wisconsin–Madison.
- Coleman, J. S., Campbell, E. Q., Hobson, C. J., McPartland, J., Mood, A. M., Weinfeld, F. D., & York, R. L. (1966). *Equality of educational opportunity*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Cook, T. D., Habib, F. N., Phillips, M., Settersten, R. A., Shagle, S. C., & Degirmencioglu, S. M. (1999). “Comer’s school development program in Prince George’s County, Maryland: A theory-based evaluation.” *American Educational Research Journal*, 36(3), pp. 543–597.
- Cook, T. D., Hunt, H. D., & Murphy, R. F. (1998). *Comer’s school development program in Chicago: A theory-based evaluation*. Unpublished manuscript, Northwestern University.
- Cuban, L. (1984). “Transforming the frog into a prince: Effective schools research, policy, and practice at the district level.” *Harvard Education Review*, 54(2).
- Daft, R. (1995). “Bureaucratic versus non-bureaucratic structure and the process of innovation and change.” *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 1, pp. 129–166.
- Datnow, A., & Stringfield, S. (1997). “The Memphis restructuring initiative: Development and first year evaluation from a large scale reform effort.” *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 8(1).
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1988). “Policy and professionalism.” In Lieberman, A. (Ed.), *Building a Professional Culture in Schools*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1995). “Policy for restructuring.” In Lieberman, A. (Ed.), *The work of restructuring schools: Building from the ground up*. New York: Teachers College Press, pp. 157–175.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1997). *The right to learn: A blueprint for creating schools that work*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Desimone, L. (2000). *Making comprehensive school reform work*. New York: ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education, Teachers College.
- Edmonds, R. R. (1979). "Effective schools for the urban poor." *Educational Leadership*, 37, pp. 15–27.
- Elmore, R. F., & Rothman, R. (Eds.) (1999). *Testing, teaching, and learning: A guide for states and school districts*. Committee on Title I Testing and Assessment, National Research Council. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Eveland, J. D., & Bikson, T. R. (1989). *Work group structures and computer support: A field experiment*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (N-2979-MF).
- Fashola, O., & Slavin, R. E. (1998). "Schoolwide reform models: What works?" *Phi Delta Kappan*, 79(5), pp. 370–378.
- Fordham, S., & Ogbu, J. (1986). "Black students' school success: Coping with the burden of acting white." *Urban Review*, 18(3), pp. 176–206.
- Fullan, M. G. (1999). *Change forces: The sequel*. Philadelphia: Falmer Press/Taylor & Francis, Inc.
- Fullan, M. G. (2001). *The new meaning of educational change, 3rd edition*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Gamoran, A. (1987). "The stratification of high school learning opportunities." *Sociology of Education*, 60, pp. 135–155.
- Gamoran, A. (1992). "The variable effects of high school tracking." *American Sociological Review*, 57, pp. 812–828.
- Gamoran, A., & Berends, M. (1987). "The effects of stratification in secondary schools: Synthesis of survey and ethnographic research." *Review of Educational Research*, 57, pp. 415–435.
- Gamoran, A., & Dreeben, R. (1986). "Coupling and control in educational organizations." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 31, pp. 612–632.

- Gamoran, A., Nystrand, M., Berends, M., & LePore, P. C. (1995). "An organizational analysis of the effects of ability grouping." *American Educational Research Journal*, 32, pp. 687–715.
- Garet, J. S., Birman, B. F., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., Herman, R., & Yoon, K. S. (1999). *Designing effective professional development: Lessons from the Eisenhower program*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Gitlin, A., & Margonis, F. (1995). "The political aspect of reform: Teacher resistance as good sense." *American Journal of Education*, 103, pp. 377–405.
- Glennan, T. K., Jr. (1998). *New American Schools after six years*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-945-NASDC).
- Grissmer, D. W., & Flanagan, A. (1998). *Exploring rapid achievement gains in North Carolina and Texas*. Washington, DC: National Education Goals Panel.
- Goggin, M. L., Bowman, A., Lester, J., & O'Toole, L. (1990). *Implementation theory and practice: Toward third generation*. New York: HarperCollins.
- Hall, G. E., Hord, S., & Griffin, T. (1980). "Implementation at the school building level: The development and analysis of nine mini-case studies." Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association Annual Meeting.
- Hallinan, M. T. (1994). "Tracking: From theory to practice." *Sociology of Education*, 67(2), pp. 79–83.
- Herman, R., Aladjem, D., McMahon, P., Masem, E., Mulligan, I., Smith O'Malley, A., Quinones, S., Reeve, A., & Woodruff, D. (1999). *An educators' guide to schoolwide reform*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.
- Hess, A. G. (1995). *Restructuring urban schools: A Chicago perspective*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Hoffer, T. (1992). "Effects of community type on school experiences and student learning." Paper presented to the 1992 annual meet-

- ing of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.
- Huberman, M., & Miles, M. (1984). "Rethinking the quest for school improvement: Some findings from the DESSI study." *Teachers College Record*, 86(1).
- Jencks, C. S., Smith, M., Acland, H., Bane, M. J., Cohen, D., Gintis, H., Heyns, B., & Michelson, S. (1972). *Inequality: A reassessment of the effect of family and schooling in America*. New York: Basic Books.
- Jennings, J. F. (1996). "Travels without Charley." *Phi Delta Kappan*, 78, pp. 11–16.
- Jennings, J. F. (1998). *Why national standards and tests? Politics and the quest for better schools*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Keltner, B. (1998). *Resources for transforming New American Schools: First year findings*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (IP-175).
- Kirby, S. N., Berends, M., & Naftel, S. (2001). *Implementation in a longitudinal sample of New American Schools: Four years into scale-up*. Santa Monica, CA, RAND (MR-1413-EDU).
- Kirby, S. N., Sloan, J. S., Naftel, S., & Berends, M. (in review). *Title I schools receiving Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration (CSRD) program funds: Recent evidence from the National Longitudinal Survey of Schools*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Kirst, M. (1991). "Toward a focused research agenda." In *Voices from the field: 30 expert opinions on America 2000, the Bush Administration strategy to "reinvent" America's schools*. Washington, DC: The William T. Grant Foundation on Work, Family, and Citizenship and the Institute for Educational Leadership, p. 38.
- Koretz, D. M. (1996). "Using student assessments for educational accountability." In Hanushek, E. A., & Jorgenson, D.W. (Eds.), *Improving America's schools: The role of incentives*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, pp. 171–196.

- Koretz, D. M., & Barron, S. I. (1998). *The validity of gains in scores on the Kentucky Instructional Results Information System (KIRIS)*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-1014-EDU).
- Kreft, I., & De Leeuw, J. (1998). *Introducing multilevel modeling*. London: Sage Publications.
- Lee, V. E., & Bryk, A. S. (1989). "A multilevel model of the social distribution of high school achievement." *Sociology of Education*, 51, pp. 78–94.
- Lee, V. E., Bryk, A. S., & Smith, J. B. (1993). "The organization of effective secondary schools." *Review of Research in Education*, 19, pp. 171–267.
- Lee, V. E., & Smith, J. B. (1995). "Effects of high school restructuring and size on early gains in achievement and engagement." *Sociology of Education*, 68(4), pp. 241–270.
- Lee, V. E., & Smith, J. B. (1997). "High school size: Which works best and for whom?" *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 19(3), pp. 205–227.
- Levin, H. M. (1991). *Learning from accelerated schools*. Pew Higher Education Research Program, Policy Perspectives. Philadelphia: Pew Charitable Trusts.
- Lippman, L., Burns, S., & McArthur, E. (1996). *Urban schools: The challenge of location and poverty*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education.
- Lortie, D. (1970). *School teacher*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Louis, K. S., & Miles, M. B. (1990). *Improving the urban high school: What works and why*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Mayer, D. P. (1999). "Measuring instructional practice: Can policy-makers trust survey data?" *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 21(1), pp. 29–45.
- Mazmanian, D., & Sabatier, P. (1989). *Implementation and public policy*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.

- McDonnell, L. & Grubb, N. (1991). *Education and training for work: The policy instruments and the institutions*. Berkeley, CA: National Center for Research on Vocational Education, University of California.
- McLaughlin, M. W. (1987). "Learning for experience: Lessons from policy implementation." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 9(22), pp. 171–178.
- McLaughlin, M. W. (1990). "The RAND Change Agent study revisited: Macro perspectives and micro realities." *Educational Researcher*, 19(9), pp. 11–16.
- Meyer, R. H. (1996). "Comments on chapters two, three, and four." In Ladd, H. (Ed.), *Holding schools accountable: Performance-based reform in education*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution, pp. 137–145.
- Mickelson, R. A., & Wadsworth, A. L. (1996). "NASDC's Odyssey in Dallas (NC): Women, class, and school reform." *Educational Policy*, 10(3), pp. 315–341.
- Miller, M., Rollefson, M., Garet, M., Berends, M., Adelman, N., Anderson, L., & Yamashiro, K. (2000). *Summary and implications from a conference on student achievement and the evaluation of federal programs: A working paper*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.
- Mirel, J. (1994). "School reform unplugged: The Bensenville New American Schools Project, 1991–1993." *American Educational Research Journal*, 31(3), pp. 481–476.
- Mitchell, K. (1996). *Reforming and conforming: NASDC principals discuss school accountability systems*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND (MR-716-NASDC).
- Montjoy, R., & O'Toole, L. (1979). "Toward a theory of policy implementation: An organizational perspective." *Public Administration Review*, pp. 465–476.
- Murphy, J. (1992). *Restructuring schools: Capturing and assessing the phenomena*. New York: Teachers College Press.

- New American Schools Development Corporation (1991). *Designs for a new generation of American schools: Request for proposals*. Arlington, VA: NASDC.
- New American Schools Development Corporation (1997). *Bringing success to scale: Sharing the vision of New American Schools*. Arlington, VA: NASDC.
- Newmann, F. M., & Associates (Eds.) (1996). *Authentic achievement: Restructuring schools for intellectual quality*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Oakes, J. (1994). "More than misapplied technology: A normative and political response to Hallinan on tracking." *Sociology of Education*, 67(2), pp. 84–88.
- Oakes, J., Gamoran, A., & Page, R. N. (1992). "Curriculum differentiation: Opportunities, outcomes, and meanings." In Jackson, P. W. (Ed.), *Handbook of research on curriculum*. New York: Macmillan, pp. 570–608.
- Orr, M. (1998). "The challenge of school reform in Baltimore: Race, jobs, and politics." In Stone, C. N. (Ed.), *Changing Urban Education*, Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas, pp. 93–117.
- Parsons, T. (1959). "The school class as a social system: Some of its functions in American society." *Harvard Educational Review*, 29, pp. 297–318.
- Perrow, C. (1986). *Complex organizations: A critical essay, 3rd edition*. New York: Random House.
- Pogrow, S. (1998). "What is an exemplary program, and why should anyone care? A reaction to Slavin and Klein." *Phi Delta Kappan*, 27, pp. 22–29.
- Pogrow, S. (2000a). "Success for All does not produce success for students." *Phi Delta Kappan*, 81(2), pp. 67–80.
- Pogrow, S. (2000b). "The unsubstantiated 'success' of Success for All: Implications for policy, practice, and the soul of our profession." *Phi Delta Kappan*, 81(8), pp. 596–600.

- Porter, A. C. (1994). "National standards and school improvement in the 1990s: Issues and promise." *American Journal of Education*, 102(4), pp. 421–449.
- Porter, A. C., & Smithson, J. L. (1995). *Enacted curriculum survey items catalogue: Middle school and high school mathematics and science*. Madison, WI: Wisconsin Center for Education Research, University of Wisconsin–Madison.
- Porter, A. C., Floden, R., Freeman, D., Schmidt, W., & Schwille, J. (1988). "Content determinants in elementary school mathematics." In Grouws, D. A., & Cooney, T. J. (Eds.), *Perspectives on Research on Effective Mathematics Teaching*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, pp. 96–113.
- Porter, A. C., Kirst, M. W., Osthoff, E. J., Smithson, J. L., & Schneider, S. A. (1993). *Reform up close: An analysis of high school mathematics and science classroom*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin–Madison.
- Powell, A. G., Farrar, E., & Cohen, D. K. (1985). *The shopping mall high school: Winners and losers in the educational marketplace*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Pressman, J., & Wildavsky, A. (1973). *Implementation*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Purkey, S. C., & Smith, M. S. (1983). "Effective schools: A review." *Elementary School Journal*, 83(4), pp. 427–452.
- Ralph, J. (1990). "A research agenda on effective school for disadvantaged students." In Goldberg, S. S. (Ed.), *Readings on equal education, volume 10: Critical issues for a new administration and Congress*, New York: AMS Press.
- Rosenholtz, S. J. (1985). "Effective schools: Interpreting the evidence." *American Journal of Education*, 93, pp. 352–388.
- Rosenholtz, S. J. (1989). *Teacher's workplace: The social organization of schools*. New York: Longman.
- Ross, S., Troutman, A., Horgan, D., Maxwell, S., Laitinen, R., & Lowther, D. (1997). "The success of schools in implementing eight

restructuring designs: A synthesis of first year evaluation outcomes." *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 8(1), pp. 95–124.

Ross, S. M., Sanders, W. L., Wright, S. P., & Stringfield, S. (1998). *The Memphis restructuring initiative: Achievement results for years 1 and 2 on the Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System (TVAAS)*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Memphis.

Ross, S. M., Wang, L. W., Sanders, W. L., Wright, S. P., & Stringfield, S. (1999). *Two- and three-year achievement results for the Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System for restructuring schools in Memphis*. Unpublished manuscript, Center for Research in Educational Policy, University of Memphis.

Ross, S. M., Sanders, W. L., & Wright, S. P. (2000). *Fourth-year achievement results on the Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System for restructuring schools in Memphis*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Memphis.

Ross, S. M., Sanders, W. L., Wright, S. P., Stringfield, S., Wang, L. W., & Alberg, M. (2001). "Two- and three-year achievement results from the Memphis restructuring initiative." *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 12(3), pp. 265–284.

Sanders, W. L., & Horn, S. P. (1994). "The Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System (TVAAS): Mixed-model methodology in educational assessment." *Journal of Personnel Evaluation in Education*, 8, pp. 299–311.

Sanders, W. L., & Horn, S. P. (1995). "Educational assessment reassessed: The usefulness of standardized and alternative measures of student achievement as indicators for the assessment of educational outcomes." *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, 3(6).

Singer, J. D. (1998). "Using SAS PROC MIXED to fit multilevel models, hierarchical models, and individual growth models." *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 24(4), pp. 323–355.

Sizer, T. R. (1984). *Horace's compromise: The dilemma of the American high school*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

- Sizer, T. R. (1992). *Horace's school: Redesigning the American high school*. New York: Houghton Mifflin.
- Slavin, R. E. (1987). "Ability grouping and student achievement in elementary schools: A best-evidence synthesis." *Review of Educational Research*, 57, pp. 293–336.
- Slavin, R. E. (1990). "Achievement effects of ability grouping in secondary schools: A best-evidence synthesis." *Review of Educational Research*, 60, pp. 471–499.
- Slavin, R. E. (1997a). "Design competitions: A proposal for a new federal role in education research and development." *Educational Researcher*, 26(1), pp. 22–28.
- Slavin, R. E. (1997b). "Design competitions and expert panels: Similar objectives, very different paths." *Educational Researcher*, 26(6), pp. 21–22.
- Slavin, R. E. (1999). "Rejoinder: Yes, control groups are essential in program evaluation: A response to Pogrow." *Educational Researcher*, 27, pp. 36–38.
- Slavin, R. E. (2000). "Research overwhelmingly supports success for all." *Phi Delta Kappan*, 81(9), p. 720.
- Slavin, R. E., & Madden, N. A. (2000). "Research on achievement outcomes of Success for All: A summary and response to critics." *Phi Delta Kappan*, 82(1), pp. 38–66.
- Smith, L., Ross, S., McNelis, M., Squires, M., Wasson, R., Maxwell, S., Weddle, K., Nath, L., Grehan, A., & Buggey, T. (1998). "The Memphis restructuring initiative: Analyses of activities and outcomes that impact implementation success." *Education and Urban Society*, 30(3), pp. 296–325.
- Stringfield, S., & Datnow, A. (1998). "Scaling up school restructuring and improvement designs." *Education and Urban Society*, 30(3).
- Stringfield, S., Millsap, M. A., & Herman, R. (1997). *Special strategies for educating disadvantaged children: Findings and policy implications of a longitudinal study*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

- Stringfield, S., Ross, S., & Smith, L. (Eds.) (1996). *Bold plans for school restructuring*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Sykes, G. (1990). "Fostering teacher professionalism in schools." In Elmore, R. F. (Ed.), *Restructuring schools: The next generation of educational reform*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Timpane, M. (1991). "A case of misplaced emphasis." In *Voices from the field: 30 expert opinions on America 2000, the Bush Administration strategy to "reinvent" America's schools*. Washington, DC: The William T. Grant Foundation on Work, Family, and Citizenship and the Institute for Educational Leadership, pp. 19–20.
- U.S. Department of Education. (1993). *Improving America's Schools Act of 1993: The reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act and other amendments*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Weatherley, R., & Lipsky, M. (1977). "Street-level bureaucrats and institutional innovation: Implementing special education reform." *Harvard Education Review*, 47(2), pp. 171–197.
- Wise, A. E. (1989). "Professional teaching: A new paradigm for the management of education." In Sergiovanni, T. J., & Moore, J. H. (Eds.), *Schooling for tomorrow: Directing reforms to issues that count*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Wong, K., & Meyer, S. (1998). "Title I school-wide programs: A synthesis of findings from recent evaluation." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 20(2), pp. 115–136.
- Yin, R. (1979). *Changing bureaucracies*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.