

Training the 21st Century Police Officer

**Redefining Police Professionalism for the
Los Angeles Police Department**

**Russell W. Glenn
Barbara R. Panitch
Dionne Barnes-Proby
Elizabeth Williams
John Christian
Matthew W. Lewis
Scott Gerwehr
David W. Brannan**

Prepared for the
City of Los Angeles and the
Los Angeles Police Department

**Public Safety and Justice
RAND**

This report was prepared for the City of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles Police Department by RAND Public Safety and Justice, 1700 Main Street, P.O. Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138.

RAND is a nonprofit institution that helps improve policy and decisionmaking through research and analysis. RAND® is a registered trademark. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of its research sponsors.

Published 2003 by RAND

ISBN: 0-8330-3468-5

© Copyright 2003 City of Los Angeles

All rights reserved under U.S. copyright and international laws. This is a public document pursuant to the California Public Records Act, and is available via the Internet at www.lapdonline.org. This document may be reproduced solely for personal, non-commercial and not-for-profit uses, but may not otherwise be copied, reproduced, modified, altered, distributed, displayed, or used by anyone without prior written consent from the City of Los Angeles (via the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office—Intellectual Property Counsel).

PREFACE

On June 15, 2001, the City of Los Angeles signed a consent decree with the United States Department of Justice. The consent decree is essentially a settlement agreement that aims to promote police integrity and prevent conduct that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. Areas for remediation are identified and expeditious implementation of corrective measures is required. This project is the result of the mandate of one paragraph in the decree that requires an independent examination of police training in the areas of use of force, search and seizure, arrest procedures, community policing, and diversity awareness. RAND conducted this study over a nine-month period (July 1, 2002–March 31, 2003).

This study will be of interest to Los Angeles City residents and those in the Los Angeles City government. It also has national application for the field of police training.

This project was funded by the City of Los Angeles on behalf of the Los Angeles Police Department.

RAND PUBLIC SAFETY AND JUSTICE

This study was conducted within RAND's Public Safety and Justice unit. RAND Public Safety and Justice conducts research and analysis that helps inform policymakers and communities in the areas of public safety, including law enforcement, terrorism preparedness, immigration, emergency response and management, and natural disasters; criminal justice, including sentencing and corrections pol-

icy, firearms, and community violence; and drug policy, which focuses on problems related to illegal drugs and substance abuse. Inquiries regarding RAND Public Safety and Justice may be directed to

Jack Riley
RAND Public Safety and Justice
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138
310-393-0411
www.rand.org/psj

CONTENTS

Preface	iii
Figures	ix
Tables	xi
Summary	xiii
Acknowledgments	xvii
Acronyms	xix
Chapter One	
BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY	1
Setting the Context	3
The Foundation’s Cornerstone: A Coherent Approach to Improvement	3
Chapter Two: Law Enforcement Professionalism and the LAPD	4
Chapter Three: Corporateness	6
Chapter Four: The Police Responsibility to Community-Oriented Policing in a Diverse Society . .	6
Chapter Five: Developing Police Expertise	7
Research Methodology and Data Analysis	8
Literature Reviews	9
Case Studies	10
Written Curriculum Review and Classroom Observation	11
Police Focus Groups	14
Interviews	15
Recommendations	18

Establish an LAPD Lessons-Learned Program	18
Introduce and Maintain Consistently High Quality Throughout Every Aspect of LAPD Training	19
Restructure the LAPD Training Group to Allow the Centralization of Planning; Instructor Qualification, Evaluation, and Learning Retention; and More Efficient Use of Resources	19
Integrate Elements of Community-Oriented Policing and Diversity Awareness Training Models Throughout LAPD Training	20
Develop Training on Use of Force, Search and Seizure, and Arrest Procedures That Meets Current Standards of Excellence	20
Notes	21
 Chapter Two	
THE LUSTER IN THE BADGE: LAW ENFORCEMENT PROFESSIONALISM AND THE LAPD	
What Does It Mean to Serve with the LAPD?	23
What Is a Profession?	24
Corporateness	26
Responsibility	28
Expertise	28
Is Law Enforcement a Profession?	28
Can the LAPD Be a Professional Force?	29
Getting There from Here	33
 Chapter Three	
CORPORATENESS	
Introduction	37
Every Cop a Teacher: A Call for Creating an Effective Lessons-Learned Program	37
Lessons Learned and What They Offer the LAPD	39
Developing Lessons Learned	39
Identifying and Distributing Lessons Learned	42
Getting Lessons to the Field	43
Concluding Thoughts	45
Transforming John Doe into an LAPD Professional: Building Excellence into the Police Training Function	49
The Backdrop for Training at the LAPD	49

Training the Professional Police Officer	50
Educational Techniques	53
Curriculum Design	56
Models of Teaching—Instructor Development and Delivery	59
The Case for Evaluating Training Programs	62
Current LAPD Training Organization Structure	66
Guiding Principles for the Restructuring of the Training Group	69
Training Organization Structure Findings	74
Training Organization Recommendations	78
Chapter Four	
THE POLICE RESPONSIBILITY TO COMMUNITY- ORIENTED POLICING IN A DIVERSE SOCIETY	91
The Redefined Police Professional Has a Responsibility to Public Service	91
Community Policing and Its Development in Los Angeles	93
The Importance of Diversity Awareness	98
What Is Needed for Community Policing to Succeed?	100
Training for Community Policing	103
Key Components of Community Policing Training	104
Points of Inculcation	107
Conclusion	116
Chapter Five	
DEVELOPING POLICE EXPERTISE	119
Overview	119
Use of Force, Arrest Procedures, and Search and Seizure As Issues of Expertise	122
Contextualize the Learning	125
Integrate Key Topics Throughout the Curriculum	127
Build the Scenario	134
Conduct a Thorough Debriefing	139
Conclusion and Specific Recommendations	140
Chapter Six	
CONCLUSION	147
Overarching Recommendation	148
Primary Recommendations	148

Appendix	
A. PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS	153
B. RAND TRAINING DOCUMENT REVIEW AND CLASSROOM OBSERVATION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT	155
C. INDIVIDUAL INTERVIEW RESPONDENTS	163
D. INDIVIDUAL INTERVIEW INSTRUMENT	165
E. LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT CORE VALUES . . .	175
F. LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLES	177
G. LAPD ORGANIZATION CHARTS	183
H. SUMMARY OF ORGANIZATION CHANGES	187
I. LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: TOOLS TO INCREASE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LAPD TRAINING EFFORTS	193
J. CASE STUDIES—A BRIEF COMPENDIUM OF POLICE TRAINING INNOVATIVE PRACTICES	205
K. COMMUNICATIONS VARIABLES	221
L. ANALYSIS OF TRAINING COURSES	229
M. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	239
Bibliography	245

FIGURES

2.1. LAPD Training Construct	35
3.1. Current LAPD Training Organization Structure	67
3.2. Alternative 1: Proposed LAPD Training Organization Structure	80
3.3. Alternative 2: Proposed LAPD Training Organization Structure	84
G.1. Current LAPD Training Organization Structure	184
G.2. Alternative 1: Proposed LAPD Training Organization Structure	185
G.3. Alternative 2: Proposed LAPD Training Organization Structure	186

TABLES

1.1. Classroom Observations As a Percentage of the Written Materials Reviewed	13
1.2. LAPD Focus Groups	16
3.1. Training Methods Employed During LAPD Recruit Instruction	54
4.1. Los Angeles Population by Race	98
H.1. Alternative 1	187
H.2. Alternative 2	190
L.1. Assessment Variables	229
L.2. Ratings and Comments on Training Courses	230

SUMMARY

This book summarizes a nine-month study conducted by RAND for the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD, also hereafter referred to as the Department). The City of Los Angeles entered into a consent decree with the U.S. Department of Justice. The objective of this book is to provide analyses and recommendations to assist the LAPD in meeting the requirements outlined in paragraph 133 of this decree, ultimately enabling the LAPD to better serve the interests of the people of Los Angeles through improved training in five critical areas. These areas are use of force, search and seizure, arrest procedures, community policing, and diversity awareness.

Early in our investigation, it became apparent that many of the Department's training problems share a common foundation. Even though the problems encompass many varied topics and training challenges, they are systemic in nature and require a unified approach to change. We recommend that the LAPD clearly and consistently communicate expectations for appropriate LAPD officer behavior. Many officers conduct themselves in a manner that community and Department norms would deem appropriate. Others do not. We suggest that the first essential step to improving police training is to establish and communicate a common foundation for police performance—a redefined professionalism.

Police professionalism as described herein refers to a very specific and widely recognized construct. It is distinct from the notion of police professionalism that was prevalent in 1950s and 1960s policing. The police professionalism that we posit instead is Samuel Hunting-

ton's (1957) far wider ranging three-tiered approach based on the tenets of corporateness, responsibility, and expertise.

Not everyone accepts that police can attain professional status. However, many, the authors among them, find evidence to support a more positive perspective. Los Angeles Chief of Police William J. Bratton concurs, plainly stating that he "resents the concept that policing is not a profession."¹

The Huntington concept of professionalism provides the basis for communicating the meaning of service as a police officer in the City of Los Angeles. Other organizational and leadership philosophies fit within this concept to include ethics-based, integrity-centric community policing. Competency-based training is also related to these endeavors. Professionalism accepts that personnel cannot be trained for every event, but they must be prepared to handle any eventuality, to think on their feet, and to react with appropriate legal, moral, and ethical behavior. The authors realize that advancing a notion of a redefined professionalism may unsettle some who see the old professional era of policing as a failed model. Nevertheless, we trust that most will understand that what is presented herein is a much needed new start and potentially very effective means of molding a force that will serve the people of Los Angeles well.

The governing concept of this new professionalism will provide an important underpinning for correction of weaknesses within the LAPD training realm. One overarching and five primary recommendations form the basis for addressing these shortcomings. Each is complemented by numerous additional and more detailed supporting recommendations. Appendix M provides a consolidated listing of all such recommendations for ease of reference.

The following is our overarching recommendation:

The Los Angeles Police Department should adopt a concept of police professionalism that incorporates the tenets of corporateness, responsibility, and expertise as the mechanism for guiding the development and execution of its training, to include training

¹William Bratton, interview with Barbara R. Panitch and Russell W. Glenn, Los Angeles, Calif., February 13, 2003.

in the areas of use of force, search and seizure, arrest, community policing, and diversity awareness.

The five primary recommendations are

- Establish an LAPD lessons-learned program.
- Introduce and maintain consistently high quality throughout every aspect of LAPD training.
- Restructure the LAPD Training Group to allow the centralization of planning; instructor qualification, evaluation, and learning retention; and more efficient use of resources.
- Integrate elements of community-oriented policing (also called “community policing”) and diversity awareness training models throughout LAPD training.
- Develop training on use of force, search and seizure, and arrest procedures that meets current standards of excellence.

This study employed a combination of data collection methods including a review of LAPD and other departments’ written course documents, Department policies, and protocols; observation of instructional sessions; focus groups with police department personnel; interviews with police managers, elected officials, and community members; a case study survey of best practices in the field of policing, both domestic and international; and literature reviews in the areas of adult and police education, policing, use of force, search and seizure, arrest, community policing, and diversity.

Though the five primary areas of study were listed as separate entities in the consent decree, they are in practice not discrete areas of training any more than they are discriminate parts of service on the streets. The five subject areas constitute a core set of competencies that an ethical and effective police officer will regularly employ in concert. We address them accordingly within this book. The days of mandating a given number of hours on community policing, use of force, or other topic areas of concern are past. Such artificial “stove-piping,” where naturally intertwined topics are handled separately, runs counter to common sense, policing reality, and effective service to society as the client. The new police professionalism requires a greater training sophistication just as it demands greater sophistica-

tion of understanding from city government personnel overseeing law enforcement activities, police leaders, and officers on the street.

Conducting training founded on police professionalism is of value only if LAPD's senior leadership stands behind and champions the concept. Department leaders with whom the authors came in contact during the conduct of this study unhesitatingly demonstrated willingness in this regard. There is much reason to believe that a department already proficient in many ways can improve yet further with such leaders at the top and training that promotes the pursuit of professionalism throughout the force.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Timely completion of this study would not have been possible without the dedication and hard work contributed by many individuals. Los Angeles Police Department Training Group personnel, including Sergeant Gena Brooks, Director Robin Greene, Caryn McLeod, Captain Sergio Diaz, and Assistant Chief George Gascón, assisted greatly throughout the process. Many other members of the Department contributed through their participation in interviews, focus groups, and by graciously allowing classroom or other training observation.

Edmund Edelman, William Geller, Dennis Nowicki, Robert Stewart, and Elizabeth Watson served as a sounding board for findings and, drawing on their many years in police and civil government positions, acted as valuable guides to police culture. Estela Lopez provided excellent insights and entrée for community issues in the relevant areas. Ellen Scrivner and Dina Levy served as peer reviewers for the final report. We thank them for their aid in the quality assurance process.

RAND staff critical to the production of this book and other project efforts include Clifford Grammich, Terri Perkins, Christina Pitcher, Louis Ramirez, and Meg Matthius. These individuals have enhanced our work considerably. A special thanks to Deirdre B. Glenn for her assistance in helping to proofread portions of the study.

Finally, we wish to thank those members of the City of Los Angeles team who assisted by providing selfless oversight of this project and all, from the City of Los Angeles and elsewhere, who kindly participated in interviews, project meetings, or otherwise assisted in this undertaking for the people of Los Angeles and their police depart-

ment. A special thanks to Mayor James K. Hahn, Chief William J. Bratton, and Los Angeles City Council Member Cindy Miscikowski for taking the time to contribute.

ACRONYMS

ARCON	Arrest and control
CALL	Center for Army Lessons Learned
CAPRA	Client, acquiring and analyzing, partnerships, response, assessment
CED	Continuing education division
CEDP	Continuing Education Delivery Plan
CP	Community-oriented policing
C-PABs	Community-Police Advisory Boards
FTO	Field training officer
IDC	Instructor-development course
LACP	Los Angeles Community Policing
LAPD	Los Angeles Police Department
LD	Learning domain
LETAC	Law Enforcement Tactical Application Course
LMS	Learning management system
OIC	Officer in charge
PACCTs	Police and community collaborative teams
POST	Peace Officer Standards and Training
PTO	Police Training Officer

RCMP	Royal Canadian Mounted Police
RFP	Request for proposal
ROI	Return on investment
SARA	Scanning, analysis, response, assessment
SLO	Senior lead officer
SOAR	Staff Officers' Annual Retreat
WPLP	West Point leadership program