
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work could not have been undertaken without the special environment at RAND, which has been created and nurtured by RAND leadership. For that environment, the authors are grateful. Many individuals in the Navy and at RAND deserve credit for the work discussed in this report. Their names and contributions would fill several pages. If we were to single out a senior person in the Navy and another at RAND who participated in and supported this work in extraordinary ways, we would mention CAPT Mark O'Hare, USN, Program Manager for CVs/CVNs (carriers and nuclear carriers) at the Naval Sea Systems Command, and Gene Gritton, Acting Director, NDRI.

We also want to thank the leaders and staff of the Offices of the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of the Navy; the Naval Sea Systems Command—in particular, the Nuclear Power Directorate; Program Executive Officer (PEO), Aircraft Carriers; Naval Sea Systems Command Detachment PERA (CV); Puget Sound Naval Shipyard; and Norfolk Naval Shipyard. And last but not least, we thank the managers, engineers, and analysts at Newport News Shipbuilding. We extend our gratitude to each for arranging for us to visit their facilities and discuss production issues, for sharing experiences of those most directly involved, for providing all the data we requested in a timely manner, and for sharing their perspectives with us. They earned our respect by treating different perspectives in a professional manner.

We are also indebted to the British and French Ministries of Defense for allowing us to visit their headquarters and to discuss, with the senior government leadership and their staffs, their experiences with production gaps, low-rate production, and production issues in general. We owe a debt to the management and staff of the DCN Shipyard at Brest, France; Vickers Shipbuilding and Engineering, Ltd., Barrow-in-Furness, England; Kvaerner Masa-Yards, Helsinki, Finland; Kvaerner Govan Shipyard, Glasgow, Scotland; Fincantieri Shipyard, Trieste, Italy; and Chantiers de l'Atlantique, Paris, France, for sharing with us their innovative organizational, management, engineering, and technical

approaches to improving the quality of large, complex ships while driving down costs.

This broad-based participation made possible the analysis described here.

Finally, we wish to thank our RAND colleagues Jeff Isaacson and C. Richard Neu. Their thoughtful reviews occasioned many changes that improved the clarity of the report.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ABS	American Bureau of Shipping
AC	Allis Chalmers
ACCOMP	<i>Aircraft Carrier Continuous Maintenance Program (Manual)</i>
AEW	Airborne early warning
AOR	Area of responsibility
AP	Advance procurement
ASS	Auxiliary support ship
ASW	Anti-submarine warfare
B&W	Babcock and Wilcox
BA	British Aerospace
BFM	Barry, Frank, & Murray
CAD/CAM	Computer-assisted design/computer-assisted manufacture
CAIV	Cost as an independent variable
CE	Combustion Engineering
CENTCOM	Central Command
CFE	Contractor-furnished equipment
CINC	Commander in chief
COTS	Commercial off-the-shelf (products)
CTOL	Conventional take-off and landing
CV	Carrier vessel (since mid-1970s)
CVA	Carrier vessel, attack
CVN	Carrier vessel, nuclear
CVS	Carrier vessel, anti-submarine
CW	Curtiss-Wright
DCN	Directorate for Naval Construction (in French)
DDG	Guided-missile destroyer
DGA	Delegation for Armament
DPIA	Docking planned incremental availability
EDSRA	Extended docking SRA
ESRA	Extended SRA
FASP	Flexible automated steel prefabrication
FICA	Federal Insurance Contributions Act

FW	Foster Wheeler
GE	General Electric
GFE	Government-furnished equipment
GOCO	Government-owned, commercially operated
HM&E	Hull, mechanical, and electrical
HVAC	Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
IMO	International Maritime Organization
IMP	Incremental Maintenance Program
ITT	Invitation to Tender (British equivalent of Request for Proposal)
JUMPS	Joint Uniform Military Pay System
KGL	Kvaerner Govan Limited
LMTDS	Lockheed Martin Tactical Defense Systems
LNG	Liquid natural gas (carrier)
LPD	Landing platform–dock
LPG	Liquid petroleum gas
LPH	Landing platform–helicopter
lt	Long tons
M&C	Metals and Controls
M-S	Marvel-Schelber
MARC	Arctic Technology Center
MoD	Ministry of Defense (United Kingdom)
MOI	Ministry of Industry
MPN	Military personnel, Navy (budget category)
MRCs	Multiregional conflicts
MTW	Major theater war
NAO	National Audit Office (United Kingdom)
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NAVSEA	Naval Sea Systems Command
NDRI	National Defense Research Institute
NED	Nuclear Equipment Division (BWX Technology)
NNS	Newport News Shipbuilding
NPV	Net present value
NSSN	New attack submarine
O&MN	Operations and maintenance, Navy (budget category)
O&S	Operating and support
OPNAV	Office of the Chief of Naval Operations
OPTEMPO	Operational tempo
OSD	Office of the Secretary of Defense
PCC	Precision Components Corporation
PERA-CV	Planning, Engineering, Repairs, Alterations—Aircraft Carriers
PERSTEMPO	Personnel tempo

PIA	Planned incremental availabilities
PSA	Postshakedown availability
PWR	Pressurized water reactors (nuclear)
R/LSI	Royal/Lear Siegler Inc.
R&D	Research and development
RCOH	Refueling/complex overhaul
RFP	Request for Proposal
SCN	Ship construction, Navy (budget category)
SGLI	Servicemen's Group Life Insurance
SLEP	Service life extension program
s.h.p.	Shaft horsepower
SRA	Selected restricted availability
SSBN	Ballistic-missile submarine, nuclear-powered
std	Standard
STOVL	Short take-off/vertical landing
SUPSHIP	Supervisor of Shipbuilding
SW	Struthers Wells
UNC	United Nuclear Corporation
U.S.	United States
USA	United States Army
USN	United States Navy
USWA	United Steelworkers of America
VAMOSC	Visibility and Management of Operating and Support Costs (database)
VSEL	Vickers Engineering and Shipbuilding Limited
VSTOL	Vertical/short take-off and landing