1. Key Factors Considered in Our Analysis .................. 7
2. Alternative Enrollment Estimates Compared to Projections from Other Studies ................. 11
4. Effect of Alternative Estimates of State General Fund on UC and CSU Access Deficit .............. 19
5. Effect of Alternative Assumptions About Feasible Efficiency Improvements on UC and CSU Enrollment ........................................ 21
7. Effect of Alternative Assumptions About Feasible Effectiveness Improvements on CSU Enrollment and Degrees Awarded ............................ 24
8. Effect of Student Fees on UC Enrollment ............... 27
9. UC Access Deficits in 2014 for 25 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding and Feasible Efficiency Improvements ................. 30
10. UC Access Deficits in 2014 for 100 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Student Demand .......................... 33
11. CSU Bachelor's Degrees Awarded and First-Time Freshmen in 2014 for 125 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Feasible Effectiveness Improvements ................. 35
12. CC Access Deficits in 2014 for 100 Scenarios with Different Assumptions About State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Student Demand .... 38
13. Effect of Fees on UC, CSU, and CC Access Deficits in 2014 as a Function of Student Sensitivity to Price of Education for Three Sets of Assumptions About Future State Funding, Feasible Efficiency Improvements, and Student Demand ........... 40
A.1. Student Admissions and Flows .................. 48
B.1. Effect of Constrained and Unconstrained ("Base") Admissions on CSU Enrollment and Revenues Per Student . ................................. 54