Room for improvement
Strong patient safety systems could limit health, social and economic harms from medical error

Patient safety incidents are a growing public health problem as healthcare delivery systems become increasingly complex. International studies indicate that between 7 and 17 percent of hospital patients in the developed world will experience an ‘adverse event’ or near miss during their stay (see figure). Within Europe, only a few countries currently collect information systematically on error levels; however, available data and expert estimates suggest that 8 to 12 percent of patients in EU countries will be harmed by the care they receive (or do not receive) in hospitals.

Evidence reviewed by RAND Europe shows that nearly half of these incidents are preventable.

Medical error typically stems from system-level problems rather than negligence or incompetence by individual healthcare professionals. RAND Europe was asked to assess three system-level approaches or ‘policy action areas’ to reduce levels of patient harm:

1. Establishing effective reporting and learning systems (RLSs)
2. Establishing fair redress mechanisms
3. Developing and using knowledge and evidence at national and EU level.

Abstract
Patient-harm incidents due to medical error are acknowledged to be more widespread and more harmful than previously realised, putting patient safety high on the policy agenda. In 2008, the EU Directorate-General for Public Health and Consumer Protection asked RAND Europe to establish the scope of the problem in Europe and assess the potential impacts of three policy areas for action. RAND Europe reviewed international literature and interviewed 32 high-level experts in the field of patient safety. These insights informed quantitative analysis to estimate the potential health benefits of reducing harmful events. RAND Europe’s work suggests that strengthening patient safety systems across Europe would substantially reduce error-induced disability and death. Such improvements could also have considerable economic impact by reducing hospital stays, negligence claims and future care needs.

The opportunity: reducing harm, disability and death
To estimate the potential benefits of improving patient safety, RAND Europe modelled the impact of reduced error rates across the 24 EU member states for which data were available. This exploratory analysis indicated that if EU countries with the lowest error rates remained at current levels, and all other EU countries reduced error rates to the international average (10%), the estimated annual impact across Europe would be

- more than 750,000 fewer harm-inflicting medical errors
- 3.2 million fewer days in hospital
- at least 260,000 fewer incidents of permanent disability
- at least 95,000 fewer deaths.

Percentage of Hospitalised Patients Experiencing a Medical Error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage of Patients Experiencing a Medical Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: Based on independent country-specific studies referenced in full in Conklin et al., 2008.

1 Conklin et al., 2008
These improvements assume that a 42.8 percent reduction in preventable errors is achievable, a figure based on the findings of the 2005 Spanish Eneas report and consistent with studies in Australia and the UK. To establish baseline error levels, each country was allocated to one of five country groups by analyzing current performance against the three action areas defined above. Group 1 countries had (1) a national blame-free RLS covering several types of error (see table) and (2) collected or were collecting empirical evidence. Group 5 countries were those with no patient safety RLS at any level. Nomination of countries in top and bottom groups was made by the experts interviewed. RAND Europe researchers then assigned each country group with a hypothetical rate of medical-error prevalence based on ‘best’ case (7%) to ‘worst’ case (17%) international data. The figures were verified using EU country data where available.

Taking action: system-level approaches to improve patient safety

There was strong consensus among interviewed experts that all three action areas have strong potential for improving patient safety and providing good returns on investment. Potentially the highest-value policy initiative is RLS: establishing effective systems to collect and report data on the types, causes and prevalence of medical errors (and near misses), coupled with dynamic learning systems to ensure that key findings are widely understood and acted upon by frontline clinical staff. If well managed, the RLSs would benefit both patients and care providers by increasing transparency and trust, knowledge sharing and accountability. The initial costs to set up RLS systems would be moderate to high, but would save money in the long term through the avoided costs of patient harms such as negligence claims and additional future care.

The interviewed experts also identified a number of other key drivers and pre-conditions for achieving the anticipated benefits. First, a strong patient safety ‘culture’ must exist or be created at all levels of the healthcare system. Second, the issue of patient safety must be a high priority on the political agenda. Third, the role of the media is crucial: Government efforts to improve safety may be hindered if the media focus is on negatives (levels of patient harm) rather than positives (initiatives underway and successes achieved).

Reaching decisionmakers and the public

The results of RAND Europe’s independent study on policy actions to improve patient safety at EU level informed the successful adoption of a Communication and a proposal for a Council Recommendation in December 2008. On 9 June 2009, EU member states adopted the Council Recommendation on patient safety, including the prevention and control of healthcare associated infections.

Future learning: the need for a European evidence base

The evidence base for quantifying the expected impacts of proposed policy areas is under-developed in Europe. Many EU countries are missing basic information on the number of patient-harming events or near misses, as there is little routine data collection and reporting at a nationally representative level. This makes it difficult to quantify the direct and indirect costs to the health system and to society, or to measure the economic and social value of improvements. While some countries are making efforts to implement patient safety systems, these are mostly recent and their impact yet to be evaluated. RAND Europe’s work, based on analysis of quantitative and qualitative evidence, indicates a substantial potential return. An empirical assessment of the economic impacts of patient safety initiatives is needed to demonstrate this potential more conclusively, using a longitudinal cross-national study.
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