

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Three Basic Scenarios and Key Distinguishing Parameters . . . . .	16
2.2	Base Case Force Mix for the Three Scenarios . . . . .	22
3.1	Candidate and Potential RFPI Systems by Function . . . . .	38
3.2	Base Case and Improved DRB Force Mix for the Three Scenarios . . . . .	43
3.3	Upgrades to Red Threat . . . . .	51
4.1	Effectiveness of Remote Missile by Level of RSTA . . . . .	73
5.1	Red Force Mix in the Lead Regiment of Elite Division . . . . .	110
5.2	Flight Path Locations and Profiles Considered in Air Maneuver Analysis . . . . .	111
5.3	Excursions Examined in the Air Maneuver Analysis . . . . .	112
5.4	Assumed RSTA and C2 Capabilities . . . . .	112
5.5	Results of Excursions Examined in the Air Maneuver Analysis (Percent Transports Surviving) . . . . .	118
5.6	Effects of Variable Levels of SEAD on Mission Survivability: Low and Slow Case . . .	121
5.7	Effects of Variable Levels of SEAD on Mission Survivability: Low and Fast Case . . .	121
5.8	Mission Survivability Levels: Very Low and Slow Case . . . . .	123
5.9	Conditions for TACMS Engagements . . . . .	126
5.10	Results for JSOW and TACMS Engagements . . . . .	126
7.1	Relative Impact of Different Paths for Improving Light Forces (Over Current Light Forces) . . . . .	157
B.1	Classification Scheme for APS Systems . . . . .	178
C.1	Near- and Far-Term Light Force Systems by Function . . . . .	187