

New Approaches to Planning, Executing, and Assessing Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Operations

RAND RESEARCH AREAS

THE ARTS
CHILD POLICY
CIVIL JUSTICE
EDUCATION
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT
HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
NATIONAL SECURITY
POPULATION AND AGING
PUBLIC SAFETY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SUBSTANCE ABUSE
TERRORISM AND HOMELAND SECURITY
TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE
WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE

The U.S. Air Force today encounters fleeting targets, such as terrorists and insurgents, which expose themselves to detection and attack for short periods of time, in addition to traditional fixed targets. Rapid response requires an intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) system that has appropriate sensors at the correct location when targets are exposed. The ISR planning process must prioritize many competing tasks and allow flexible, real-time changes to plans with minimum delay and friction.

Allocating limited ISR resources is a challenge. Intelligence officers do not have the means to rapidly analyze the costs and benefits of an ISR collection strategy, especially when changes are needed. Moreover, lessons learned from operations in Afghanistan and Iraq indicate that commanders are often unaware of how ISR assets are being employed and that resources are perhaps not being used to their full potential.

RAND Project AIR FORCE (PAF), a unit of the RAND Corporation, has performed research to improve the planning, execution, and assessment of ISR assets. This work has resulted in three suggested approaches:

Improving ISR Collection Planning and Execution

The Air Force can enhance planning for daily intelligence collections by adopting a strategies-to-tasks and utility-based framework. This framework would link the top-level commander's guidance, operational objectives, and tasks to specific collections and would differentiate between collections based on their utility (i.e., importance in achieving the objectives). Intelligence officers would be able to deal with time-sensitive, emerging targets by rapidly comparing the value of an ad hoc collection with the value of the collection opportunities already planned.

Analyzing the Costs and Benefits of ISR Collection Strategies

Traditional methods of ISR modeling assume fixed targets and predictable operations. Such approaches are no longer sufficient to test collection strategies against today's time-sensitive targets. PAF developed a suite of models to analyze the process of building collection "decks" (i.e., planned collections) and their execution in a simulated environment that reflects today's dynamic environment. The flexibility of the models is demonstrated by examining the effects of employing different collection strategies, in addition to sensors and platforms, in the same scenario.

Improving the ISR Assessment Process

To ensure efficient use of limited intelligence assets, an end-to-end assessment process is needed to monitor and evaluate daily operations. To date, the majority of ISR assessments have focused on statistics from the tactical level (e.g., percentage of planned images collected), but these data do not indicate how well the ISR system satisfied the commander's objectives. Adopting a strategies-to-tasks framework for collection planning at the Joint Task Force (JTF) level will enable more useful assessments because ISR tasks at the tactical level will be clearly connected to campaign objectives. JTF and component commanders should mandate feedback on ISR performance from all requestors and users of ISR-generated intelligence, and doctrine and manuals should be updated accordingly.

These methodologies should help the Air Force ensure the best use of limited intelligence assets. The ability to model ISR employment in today's dynamic environment provides a useful tool to study future Air Force challenges. ■

This product is part of the RAND Corporation research brief series. RAND research briefs present policy-oriented summaries of published, peer-reviewed documents.

Corporate Headquarters
1776 Main Street
P.O. Box 2138
Santa Monica, California
90407-2138
TEL 310.393.0411
FAX 310.393.4818

© RAND 2008

This research brief describes work done for RAND Project AIR FORCE and documented in *Methodology for Improving the Planning, Execution, and Assessment of Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Operations*, by Sherrill Lingel, Carl Rhodes, Amado Cordova, Jeff Hagen, Joel Kvitsky, and Lance Menthe, TR-459-AF (available at http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR459/), 2008, 116 pp., ISBN: 978-0-8330-4171-5. The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors. RAND® is a registered trademark.

RAND Offices

Santa Monica, CA • Washington, DC • Pittsburgh, PA • Jackson, MS / New Orleans, LA • Cambridge, UK • Doha, QA



PROJECT AIR FORCE

THE ARTS

CHILD POLICY

CIVIL JUSTICE

EDUCATION

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

NATIONAL SECURITY

POPULATION AND AGING

PUBLIC SAFETY

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

SUBSTANCE ABUSE

TERRORISM AND
HOMELAND SECURITY

TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE

WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE

This PDF document was made available from www.rand.org as a public service of the RAND Corporation.

This product is part of the RAND Corporation research brief series. RAND research briefs present policy-oriented summaries of individual published, peer-reviewed documents or of a body of published work.

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world.

Support RAND

[Browse Books & Publications](#)

[Make a charitable contribution](#)

For More Information

Visit RAND at www.rand.org

Explore [RAND Project Air Force](#)

[View document details](#)

Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Unauthorized posting of RAND PDFs to a non-RAND Web site is prohibited. RAND PDFs are protected under copyright law. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please see [RAND Permissions](#).