Gauging Future Demand for Veterans’ Health Care
Does the VA Have the Forecasting Tools It Needs?

In 1996, the mission of the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) broadened dramatically. The Veterans’ Health Care Eligibility Reform Act of 1996 transformed the VA from an episodic provider of inpatient care for veterans to a comprehensive health care provider responsible for all the medical needs of veterans who enroll. To support budgeting and planning for this broader mission, the VA relies on a complex model known as the Enrollee Health Care Projection Model (EHCPM) to predict future demand for veterans’ health care needs. Is the EHCPM up to the task? The VA asked RAND (in conjunction with an independent, senior-level actuary) to evaluate the model, which was developed and is operated by an actuarial consulting firm. The RAND evaluation addressed three main questions:

- Does the modeling approach support long-term budget planning and policy analysis?
- Does it accurately project VA service demand and costs?
- Is the design and operation of the model transparent to users and outside parties?

RAND’s evaluation found that the EHCPM is useful for short-term budget planning, but less so for longer-term planning, especially in alternative policy environments. Fortunately, the model is structured in a way that would allow modifications to support longer-term policy and planning applications without disrupting its usefulness for near-term budget planning. The study also found that the high-level model components are relatively transparent, though model subcomponents are substantially less so.

How Does the Model Work?
The EHCPM estimates the use of VA services in a base year for each service category (e.g., inpatient care, office visits) using proprietary benchmarks based on utilization in commercial health plans as a starting point. The costs associated with the estimated use of each service are derived from data provided by the VA’s cost accounting system. In the next step, the EHCPM estimates base year service use and the unit cost of services. These estimates are based on anticipated changes in demand for VA care, the efficiency and intensity of care provided by the VA system, and overall projected medical inflation in the United States. In any given year, the VA forecasts expenditures for each service by multiplying expected enrollment, forecast utilization, and forecast unit costs (see figure).

Key findings:

RAND evaluated the model used by the VA to project future demand for veterans’ health care needs. The evaluation found that

- The model is useful for short-term budget planning, but less so for longer-term strategic planning and policy analysis.
- The model is structured in a way that would allow modifications to improve support for longer-term policy and planning applications without disrupting its usefulness for near-term budget planning.
- The high-level structure of the model is relatively transparent, although the model’s subcomponents are substantially less so.
Does the model accurately predict the level of resources needed by the VA in future years to meet projected demand? The model’s accuracy becomes less certain as it is used to project the impact of policy and budget scenarios farther from the status quo. The main source of this uncertainty stems from the fact that the EHCPM begins its expenditure projection with the VA’s congressional budget allocation rather than an independent measure of resource needs. The discretionary nature of the VA’s budget complicates the comparison between model projections and actual expenditures. Under a discretionary budget, the VA does not have the authority to spend more than Congress appropriates. If demand for VA services cannot be satisfied under the VA’s appropriation, then actual expenditures will reflect the constraints inherent in the appropriation and not actual demand for VA services.

Is the Model Transparent?
The overall structure of the model is relatively easy for users and outside evaluators to understand. However, the model’s subcomponents are less transparent. Transparency at this level is limited by several factors: complicated algorithms that are used to set parameters of model subcomponents; uneven and often incomplete model documentation; reliance on data and clinical-efficiency benchmarks that are proprietary to the contractor that operates EHCPM and therefore not available for outside review; and the lack of a standing process for obtaining independent, periodic evaluations of the model by outside experts.

Recommendations for Improving the Model
To enhance the model’s usefulness, the VA might consider modifying the subcomponents to allow for more-robust forecasting of demand for and cost of VA care in a changing policy environment. This change would require substantial investments to expand VA’s ongoing survey efforts and to develop new costing methodologies that account for fixed costs. If such investments are not feasible, the VA may want to investigate simplifying the current model, drawing more-exclusively on the VA’s own data resources. A simpler model would be more transparent and may perform equally well. Under either an enhanced or simplified model, the VA might also consider other improvements, including more approachable and complete documentation, the involvement of a wider range of experts in model development, and periodic review by independent experts.
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