Reducing Michigan Auto Insurance Rates

In 2007, the average annual auto insurance premium in Michigan was $928, compared to $795 elsewhere in the United States—a 17-percent difference. One possible consequence of this is a high proportion of drivers who have failed to purchase mandatory auto insurance. In 2007, an estimated 17 percent of Michigan drivers failed to purchase such insurance, a higher proportion than found in all but eight other states.

In response to these problems, Michigan legislators and consumer groups have supported further regulations on the pricing of insurance products. While additional price regulation provides one method for reforming Michigan’s insurance system, it may not address the underlying causes of high premiums. This research reviewed the unique features of the Michigan insurance system, how Michigan claims for property damage and bodily injury (BI) compare to those in other states, and implications of these claiming patterns for efforts to reform Michigan’s auto insurance system. Michigan’s higher premiums can be explained by higher claim payments, suggesting that reforms that target claiming behavior may help to address the high cost of insurance in the state.

Michigan’s Auto Insurance System

Most states require drivers to purchase property-damage and BI liability coverage that compensates others harmed by the insured driver in a crash. A smaller number of states, including Michigan, also require motorists to purchase personal injury protection (PIP) insurance. Rather than compensating other drivers, PIP insurance covers medical and other injury expenses for the driver who purchased the insurance and pays the driver, regardless of fault for a collision.

Because of Michigan’s “no-fault” requirements, injured, insured drivers may seek payment from both their own PIP insurer and, possibly, another driver’s BI insurer. Michigan is unique in requiring PIP coverage to be unlimited, providing a potentially generous source of reimbursement for medical expenses and lost wages in the event of an accident.

This system of coverage has a price. Although BI and PIP costs in the country as a whole remained stable between 2000 and 2006, the latest available year of data, PIP loss costs per insured vehicle in Michigan rose from $141 to $277 over the same period, a 96-percent increase.

How Michigan Claims Compare to Those in Other States

Property-damage losses cannot explain Michigan’s higher premiums. There are, in fact, fewer annual claims in Michigan for property damage (369 per 100,000 vehicles) than there are nationwide (385 per 100,000 vehicles). Furthermore, Michigan residents’ vehicles involved in collisions tend to have less severe reported damage than vehicles nationwide. Finally, Michigan consumer expenditures for auto repairs track those of other states, suggesting that high prices for damage repairs are not the cause of high premiums.

Auto crash injury costs, however, appear to be higher in Michigan than elsewhere in the
nation. A statistical model that predicts the expected costs for paying each Michigan claim assuming costs that mimic those elsewhere in the nation found that, while one might expect an average auto insurance claim to result in an injury claim of $12,885, in fact, it resulted in a claim of $20,229, or 57 percent more. Assuming that injury payments account for 30 percent of auto insurance premiums in Michigan (as elsewhere), then injury payments in Michigan that are 57 percent higher than elsewhere would alone result in 17 percent higher premiums.

Moreover, while the proportion of claimants who obtain medical treatment in Michigan (92.8 percent) is almost identical to the proportion elsewhere (92.1 percent), Michigan claimants use a different mix of services. In particular, they are 19 percent more likely than claimants elsewhere to claim reimbursement for a hospital visit and 25 percent more likely to claim reimbursement for emergency-room use. They are also more likely to seek reimbursement for X-rays and computed tomography (CT) scans, to purchase durable medical equipment, and to claim reimbursement for lost wages.

**Policy Implications**

High insurance premiums can result from poorly functioning markets or more comprehensive coverage that is more expensive. In Michigan, auto crash injury victims and their medical providers appear to take advantage of generous coverage that insurers are required to offer. Without changing incentives to consume care, it may be difficult to achieve long-term reductions in Michigan auto insurance premiums.

A number of policy options can address higher claim costs and have been successfully implemented in other states. Some states have introduced fee schedules for medical services covered by PIP insurance. Other possibilities include allowing policyholders to limit their PIP coverage, select a wider range of PIP deductibles, or more easily designate auto insurers as secondary to health or disability insurers in claim handling. Offering consumers broader choices rather than mandating generous but expensive coverage could allow more individuals to obtain affordable but less-comprehensive coverage while permitting those satisfied with the existing system to maintain their current coverage.
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