

Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the U.S. Military

EVALUATING ESTIMATES FROM THE 2014 RAND MILITARY WORKPLACE STUDY

In early 2014, the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) asked the RAND National Defense Research Institute to conduct an independent assessment of sexual assault, sexual harassment, and gender discrimination in the military. The RAND Military Workplace Study (RMWS) included one of the largest surveys of its kind: Almost 560,000 active- and reserve-component service members were invited to participate, and more than 170,000 completed the survey.

The RMWS took a new approach to counting service members who experienced sexual assault, sexual harassment, and gender discrimination, providing DoD with unprecedented detail on the frequency, nature, and context of these experiences among men and women in each branch of service. DoD requested this redesign to better align the survey with legal definitions of sexual assault and sexual harassment.

Because such a large number of service members participated in the survey, the RMWS estimates were very precise, but precision alone does not guarantee that the study correctly counted the events it was designed to assess. Therefore, the RAND team conducted a series of methodological substudies to investigate whether the RMWS survey estimates suffered from other sources of error, including: misclassification of sexual assault and harassment, the exclusion of some types of service members from the study sample, and differences between survey respondents and nonrespondents in their risk of sexual assault and harassment. These investigations included both additional statistical analyses of the previously published RMWS study data and analyses of new data collected in follow-up surveys of service members and from administrative records.

These investigations did not identify substantial bias or error in the previously reported RMWS estimates. However, the evidence suggests that the RMWS estimates were more likely to slightly underestimate than to overestimate the true rate of sexual assault in the military. The exact magnitude of any bias appears to be small and cannot be estimated with precision.





These investigations did not identify substantial bias or error in the previously reported RMWS estimates.

Survey nonresponse did not substantially affect the estimates of sexual assault, sexual harassment, and gender discrimination.

If service members who chose not to participate in the survey had different sexual assault or sexual harassment experiences from those of the weighted sample of respondents, this would result in biased survey estimates. To investigate how nonrespondents differed from respondents, we conducted follow-up surveys of three samples of service members who did not participate in the original RMWS survey: (1) 8,060 randomly selected members who were recruited by phone for a phone interview; (2) 8,083 randomly selected members who were recruited by mail for a self-administered paper survey; and (3) 252,741 who did not respond in time to be included in the estimates but were given additional time to complete the original RMWS survey online. These follow-up surveys did not identify a consistent pattern of bias in the estimated prevalence of sexual assault, sexual harassment, or gender discrimination. Specifically, both the phone and web follow-up surveys revealed possible nonresponse bias in the RMWS estimates, but in different directions: The phone survey suggested that the RMWS overestimated the prevalence of nonrespondents' experiences, while the web-based follow-up suggested underestimation by approximately the same magnitude. The follow-up mailed survey was stronger methodologically but did not identify evidence of significant nonresponse bias for sexual assault or harassment. It did suggest, however, that the RMWS estimates may have slightly undercounted the rate of gender discrimination.

RMWS nonresponse weights performed well in reducing the nonresponse bias in the estimated prevalence of sexual assault, sexual harassment, and gender discrimination.

Service members with characteristics associated with a higher risk of sexual assault had the lowest likelihood of responding to the survey. To address this potential source of bias, we developed new and powerful nonresponse weighting methods that built on those used in the earlier DoD surveys and by the broader survey research community. In a series of statistical analyses, we found that the new weights eliminated more sources of potential bias from the RMWS estimates than the weighting procedures used in the previous DoD studies.

Survey estimates compared well to official records.

The RMWS estimate of 2,435 official reports of sexual assault to DoD in the year prior to the survey slightly underestimated the true number of reports filed (2,997). This discrepancy could result from uncertainties in whether official reports were made by those survey respondents who indicated they were "not sure" if they had made such a report, or because some service members who filed official reports may not have been eligible to take the survey because, for instance, they separated from the military during the past year. Nevertheless, we regard this as further weak evidence that the RMWS is more likely to have slightly underestimated the true prevalence of sexual assault.

Undercounting and overcounting sexual assault

The RMWS sampling plan, survey questionnaire, and analysis plan all required judgments that affected how we counted incidents and the prevalence of sexual assault.

We examined the effects of the following decisions on the RMWS estimate that 20,300 service members experienced a sexual assault in the year prior to the survey:

- Including personnel with six to 12 months of military service and asking about assaults experienced in the prior year could have slightly overestimated military sexual assaults by capturing incidents from before these personnel entered the military. However, analyses suggest that this had a very small effect on the results, increasing the 20,300 estimate by fewer than ten personnel.
- As in the earlier DoD surveys of sexual assault, the RMWS excluded service members with fewer than six months of service. This exclusion could have led to an undercount of sexual assault incidents in the prior year by 25 to 190 personnel.
- Similarly, the RMWS did not survey those who separated from the military, even though some may have experienced a sexual assault while in the military in the year prior to the survey. The effect of this source of undercounting is potentially the largest. Under reasonable assumptions, we believe this source of undercounting could have caused the RMWS estimates to omit 900 to 2,800 service members with sexual assaults in the year prior to the survey.
- We counted as sexual assaults both those who had an unwanted sexual experience but could not recall the details because of the effects of alcohol and those who stated that they could not indicate nonconsent to sexual activity because they were frozen in fear. (We received differing expert legal opinions on whether these types of incidents constituted sexual assault under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.) Excluding all such cases would reduce the RMWS-estimated number of sexual assaults by about 50 individuals.

By far, the largest source of potential bias was the exclusion of service members who had separated from the military before the survey sample was drawn. Thus, while analyses indicate that the 2014 RMWS estimates accurately reflected the sampled population, the estimates were more likely to underestimate than overestimate the true count of sexual assaults among all who served in the military in the year preceding the survey.


Differences in events counted using RMWS and earlier DoD questions

The RAND survey form and the prior DoD survey form used different sets of questions to assess sexual assaults that occurred in the prior year. Both sets of questions were administered in 2014 so that we could compare the new instrument with the prior instrument. These analyses showed that because of how questions were framed on the earlier form, many respondents reported incidents that occurred more than one year ago, which meant DoD's method of assessing unwanted sexual contact likely overestimated its prevalence by as much as 20 percent. The DoD assessment method also identified only about half as many service members who experienced penetrative assaults as the RAND form (4,200 versus 7,800). This effect was particularly acute for male service members (1,200 versus 3,700), likely because the RAND form identified more sexual assaults that occurred in the context of hazing or that were not perceived as sexual by the service member. The RAND form, which did not require respondents to know the definition of sexual harassment and correctly apply it to their experiences, identified far more cases of sexual harassment than the form previously used by DoD.

By far, the largest source of potential bias was the exclusion of service members who had separated from the military before the survey sample was drawn.

Sample attrition, breakoff, and tolerance of the survey instrument

Fewer than 4 percent of those who began the RMWS survey stopped answering questions, or “broke off,” before reaching the sexual assault module. In comparison, 6.5 percent of those who received the prior DoD form as part of the 2014 RAND study and 13.9 percent of participants in the DoD-administered 2012 survey broke off before the critical questions. Although the behaviorally and anatomically specific language in the RAND form’s sexual assault module offended some service members, this did not lead to a higher level of breakoffs in that section relative to other portions of the survey. The RAND form did lead to more complaints than the prior form, with those at the lowest risk for sexual assault (men, officers, and those in more-senior pay grades) tending to object to the survey language at the highest rates. We received three complaints from sexual assault victims that the survey language triggered distressing memories; all said they discontinued their survey participation. In comparison, 12,210 service members classified as having experienced a sexual assault completed the survey. As such, we concur with recent findings from the National Research Council that the benefits of using behaviorally specific language to more accurately assess sexual assault experiences likely outweigh the distress associated with exposing survey respondents to language they find offensive or disturbing.



This brief describes work done in the RAND National Defense Research Institute and documented in *Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the U.S. Military: Volume 4. Investigations of Potential Bias in Estimates from the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study*, Andrew R. Morral, Kristie L. Gore, and Terry L. Schell, eds., RR-870/6-OSD, 2016 (available at www.rand.org/t/RR870z6). To view this brief online, visit www.rand.org/t/RB9899. More information on the study, including links to volumes documenting the study methodology and findings, is available at www.rand.org/rmws.

The RAND Corporation is a research organization that develops solutions to public policy challenges to help make communities throughout the world safer and more secure, healthier and more prosperous. RAND is nonprofit, nonpartisan, and committed to the public interest. RAND’s publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors. RAND® is a registered trademark.

Limited Print and Electronic Distribution Rights: This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law. This representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for noncommercial use only. Unauthorized posting of this publication online is prohibited. Permission is given to duplicate this document for personal use only, as long as it is unaltered and complete. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please visit www.rand.org/pubs/permissions.html.

© RAND 2016