

U. S. AIR FORCE
PROJECT RAND
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

n-PERSON GAMES
- AN EXAMPLE AND A PROOF -

John Nash

RM-615

ASTIA Document Number ATI 210706

4 June 1951

Assigned to _____

This is a working paper. It may be expanded, modified, or withdrawn at any time. The views, conclusions, and recommendations expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the United States Air Force.

The **RAND** *Corporation*
1700 MAIN ST. • SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

Copyright 1951
The RAND Corporation

RM-615
6-4-51

n-Person Games
An Example and a Proof

John Nash

Summary: In part 1 a duopoly example is given, and in part 2 a brief proof of the existence of equilibrium points yields a simple proof of the main theorem of 2-person zero-sum games.

1. A duopoly example.

Let

γ_1, γ_2 be the production costs of producer I and II, respectively,
 q_1, q_2 be the output of producer I and II, respectively.

Assume that the costs, as a function of the output, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= 4 - q_1 + q_1^2 \\ \gamma_2 &= 5 - q_2 + q_2^2 \end{aligned} .$$

Assume that the market price, p , is given by

$$p = 10 - 2(q_1 + q_2) .$$

The following table compares the various solution to this duopoly example, where P_1 and P_2 represent the profits of the two producers:

RM-615
6-4-51

	Cournot	Koopmans' "Efficiency"	von Neumann Morgenstern	2-person Cooperative with side payments	
				Threat	Cooperation
q ₁	.94	1.1716	.9161	1.1196	.9161
q ₂	.74	.9411	.4125	1.0000	.4125
P ₁	2.53	1.8437	—	1.8214	2.6299*
P ₂	1.36	.7812	—	.7607	1.5692*
P ₁ + P ₂	3.89	2.6249	4.1991	2.5821	4.1991
q ₁ + q ₂	1.67	2.2127	1.3286	2.1196	1.3286

* Accomplished by side-payment of .5028 from I to II.

2. Existence of equilibrium points.

The following brief proof of the existence of equilibrium points also yields a simple proof of the "main theorem" of 2-person 0-sum games.

Let $P_i(s)$ be the payment to player i when $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ are the mixed strategies used by the n players. Let $P_{i\alpha}(s)$ be the payment to player i if he changes from s_i to his α -th pure strategy $\pi_{i\alpha}$.

Let

$$\phi_{i\alpha}(s) = \max [0, P_{i\alpha}(s) - P_i(s)] .$$

$$s'_i = \frac{s_i + \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{i\alpha}(s) \pi_i}{1 + \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{i\alpha}(s)} , i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$s' = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_n) .$$

The transformation $s \rightarrow s'$ is continuous. Therefore there exists a fixed point, s . Each s_i in s contains a pure strategy $\pi_{i\alpha}$, with positive probability where $P_{i\alpha}(s) \leq P_i(s)$. Therefore

$\phi_{i\alpha}(s) = 0$ for that i and α . Hence, $\phi_{i\beta}(s) = 0$ for that i and all β 's since the proportion of $\pi_{i\alpha}$ used in s_i must not be decreased by the transformation. This is a condition for the existence of an equilibrium point.