



# PROJECT AIR FORCE

THE ARTS  
CHILD POLICY  
CIVIL JUSTICE  
EDUCATION  
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT  
HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE  
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS  
NATIONAL SECURITY  
POPULATION AND AGING  
PUBLIC SAFETY  
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
SUBSTANCE ABUSE  
TERRORISM AND  
HOMELAND SECURITY  
TRANSPORTATION AND  
INFRASTRUCTURE  
WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE

This PDF document was made available from [www.rand.org](http://www.rand.org) as a public service of the RAND Corporation.

[Jump down to document](#) ▼

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world.

## Support RAND

[Purchase this document](#)

[Browse Books & Publications](#)

[Make a charitable contribution](#)

## For More Information

Visit RAND at [www.rand.org](http://www.rand.org)

Explore [RAND Project AIR FORCE](#)

View [document details](#)


## Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Unauthorized posting of RAND PDFs to a non-RAND Web site is prohibited. RAND PDFs are protected under copyright law. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please see [RAND Permissions](#).

This product is part of the RAND Corporation technical report series. Reports may include research findings on a specific topic that is limited in scope; present discussions of the methodology employed in research; provide literature reviews, survey instruments, modeling exercises, guidelines for practitioners and research professionals, and supporting documentation; or deliver preliminary findings. All RAND reports undergo rigorous peer review to ensure that they meet high standards for research quality and objectivity.

TECHNICAL  
R E P O R T

---



Air Force Officer  
Specialty Structure  
Reviewing the Fundamentals

Raymond E. Conley, Albert A. Robbert

Prepared for the United States Air Force

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



PROJECT AIR FORCE

The research described in this report was sponsored by the United States Air Force under Contract FA7014-06-C-0001. Further information may be obtained from the Strategic Planning Division, Directorate of Plans, Hq USAF.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Conley, Raymond E.

Air Force officer specialty structure : reviewing the fundamentals / Raymond E. Conley, Albert A. Robbert.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-0-8330-4619-2 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. United States. Air Force—Officers. 2. United States. Air Force—Occupational specialties.
  3. United States. Air Force—Job descriptions. 4. United States. Air Force—Personnel management.
- I. Robbert, Albert A., 1944– II. Title.

UG793.C66 2009

358.4'13320973—dc22

2009023866

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors.

**RAND**® is a registered trademark.

© Copyright 2009 RAND Corporation

Permission is given to duplicate this document for personal use only, as long as it is unaltered and complete. Copies may not be duplicated for commercial purposes. Unauthorized posting of RAND documents to a non-RAND Web site is prohibited. RAND documents are protected under copyright law. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please visit the RAND permissions page (<http://www.rand.org/publications/permissions.html>).

Published 2009 by the RAND Corporation  
1776 Main Street, P.O. Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138  
1200 South Hayes Street, Arlington, VA 22202-5050  
4570 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2665  
RAND URL: <http://www.rand.org>  
To order RAND documents or to obtain additional information, contact  
Distribution Services: Telephone: (310) 451-7002;  
Fax: (310) 451-6915; Email: [order@rand.org](mailto:order@rand.org)

## Summary

---

Since its inception over 50 years ago, the Air Force specialty-classification structure has had only one major overhaul—in 1993. Yet, the Air Force has changed dramatically. It is smaller. Its people are more educated and experienced. Its missions have continued to evolve. Given the evolving missions, changing workforce, and changing nature of work, several senior Air Force leaders are asking whether the existing specialty codes provide the correct blend or combination (*natural clusters*) of specialties.

Several representatives from RAND Project AIR FORCE were asked to serve as members of an Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) Reengineering Working Group assembled by the Air Force to assess the clustering of specialty codes. In addition, PAF was asked to determine whether other classification changes might be warranted. This technical report presents those results by examining the current officer-classification structure while seeking to determine whether more fundamental changes are needed.

The research began with an analysis of historical major changes in the classification structure. Later, the RAND team conducted interviews with Air Staff, major command (MAJCOM), and Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC) specialty-classification personnel and users of the system, such as functional managers, assignment managers, and occupation analysis specialists. Additional information was obtained during briefings given by functional managers to the AFSC Reengineering Working Group. Historical documents, as well manpower and personnel data obtained primarily from Air Force manpower and personnel databases, were used to verify information received during interviews and briefings and to identify potential issues and extant patterns for comparative analyses.

The research offers four conclusions and seven recommendations. The conclusions are as follows:

- The framework for officer specialty classification is fundamentally sound: It helps match military jobs and personnel for Air Force purposes and facilitates a common occupational language between information systems. Given its current use and operating environment, major modifications to the structure are not required (pp. 10–12, 23–25).
- Specialty-classification components need continuous maintenance (i.e., adjustments for changes in characteristics of the work and/or workers) and periodic upgrades to capitalize on best practices and improved technology (pp. 13–17).
- Major changes are occurring in the Air Force, Department of Defense (DoD), the nature of work, and the nature of modern warfare. They will lead to significant changes in the specialty-classification structure (pp. 14–21, 28–38).
- Observations made about the officer structure may have relevant correlates in the enlisted specialty-classification structure (pp. 25–26).

The recommendations are as follows:

- Expand the continuous process improvement initiatives, Air Force Smart Operations 21 (AFSO21), to include reducing the overall cycle time for specialty-classification changes.
- Revise the current classification tenets—many of which are administrative guidelines—to reflect best practices from human capital management.
- Add a column to unit manpower documents (UMDs) for secondary specialties. Let the increased visibility and normal refinement processes improve the accuracy of those requirements.
- Use the migration to the Defense Integrated Military Human Resources System (DIMHRS) as an opportunity to (1) eliminate data elements that add little value or duplicate information derived elsewhere and (2) add variants for codes that specify broad groups of specialties (jobs for which specific backgrounds are not required—“any officer” codes).
- Increase discipline in the officer special-experience identifier (SEI) system by establishing relationships and edits<sup>1</sup> between the SEI codes and applicable AFSCs.
- Increase the granularity of officer Intelligence specialties by using suffixes if it is not possible to put discipline in the SEI system.
- Initiate research to assess the potential effects of the changing nature of work and warfare on the specialty-classification structure.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Edits* reflect the relationship between the AFSC and the SEI code.