



PROJECT AIR FORCE

THE ARTS
CHILD POLICY
CIVIL JUSTICE
EDUCATION
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT
HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
NATIONAL SECURITY
POPULATION AND AGING
PUBLIC SAFETY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SUBSTANCE ABUSE
TERRORISM AND
HOMELAND SECURITY
TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE
WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE

This PDF document was made available from www.rand.org as a public service of the RAND Corporation.

[Jump down to document](#) ▼

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world.

Support RAND

[Purchase this document](#)

[Browse Books & Publications](#)

[Make a charitable contribution](#)

For More Information

Visit RAND at www.rand.org

Explore [RAND Project AIR FORCE](#)

View [document details](#)

Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Unauthorized posting of RAND PDFs to a non-RAND Web site is prohibited. RAND PDFs are protected under copyright law. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please see [RAND Permissions](#).

This product is part of the RAND Corporation technical report series. Reports may include research findings on a specific topic that is limited in scope; present discussions of the methodology employed in research; provide literature reviews, survey instruments, modeling exercises, guidelines for practitioners and research professionals, and supporting documentation; or deliver preliminary findings. All RAND reports undergo rigorous peer review to ensure that they meet high standards for research quality and objectivity.

TECHNICAL R E P O R T

The Air Force Officer Qualifying Test

Validity, Fairness, and Bias

Chaitra M. Hardison, Carra S. Sims, Eunice C. Wong

Prepared for the United States Air Force

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE

The research described in this report was sponsored by the United States Air Force under Contract FA7014-06-C-0001. Further information may be obtained from the Strategic Planning Division, Directorate of Plans, Hq USAF.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Hardison, Chaitra M.

The Air Force Officer Qualifying Test : validity, fairness, and bias / Chaitra M. Hardison, Carra S. Sims, Eunice C. Wong.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-0-8330-4779-3 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Air Force Officer Qualifying Test. 2. United States. Air Force—Examinations. 3. United States. Air Force—Officers—Training of. I. Sims, Carra S. II. Wong, Eunice C. III. Title.

UG793.H37 2010

358.4'1332076—dc22

2009047349

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors.

RAND® is a registered trademark.

© Copyright 2010 RAND Corporation

Permission is given to duplicate this document for personal use only, as long as it is unaltered and complete. Copies may not be duplicated for commercial purposes. Unauthorized posting of RAND documents to a non-RAND Web site is prohibited. RAND documents are protected under copyright law. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please visit the RAND permissions page (<http://www.rand.org/publications/permissions.html>).

Published 2010 by the RAND Corporation
1776 Main Street, P.O. Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138
1200 South Hayes Street, Arlington, VA 22202-5050
4570 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2665
RAND URL: <http://www.rand.org>
To order RAND documents or to obtain additional information, contact
Distribution Services: Telephone: (310) 451-7002;
Fax: (310) 451-6915; Email: order@rand.org

Summary

The Air Force has long recognized the importance of selecting the most qualified officers possible. In that spirit, the Air Force has relied on the AFOQT as one measure of those qualifications for more than 60 years.

Although the AFOQT has played a central role in the selection and placement of officers throughout the Air Force's history (see pp. 3–7), the test is not without criticism. A variety of concerns have been raised about the AFOQT, including whether the test is fair, whether the test is biased against minorities or women, whether the test is too expensive, and whether the test actually predicts anything important to the Air Force (see pp. 1–2).

To better understand these issues, AF/A1P asked RAND Project AIR FORCE to prepare a report that would review existing literature addressing common concerns about the AFOQT and would summarize the pros and cons for continuing to use the AFOQT as an Air Force officer selection tool. In doing so, we reviewed available scholarly work and relevant Air Force technical reports. Our literature search was designed to provide information addressing the following primary questions:

- What is the AFOQT?
- Is the AFOQT a valuable and useful test?
- Should the SAT replace the AFOQT?¹
- Are there any other tests that could be used to select officers?

From that review, we conclude that the AFOQT is a good selection test. It predicts important Air Force outcomes (see pp. 14–21) and is not biased against minorities or women (see pp. 25–29). In addition, we discuss the pros and cons of replacing the AFOQT with a similar measure, such as the SAT, and conclude that the Air Force would not benefit by replacing the AFOQT with the SAT for three primary reasons. First, the Air Force cannot control the content of the SAT to ensure that the test will continue to address its selection needs. Second, certain AFOQT subtests measure specific aptitudes and knowledge needed for predicting pilot and combat systems officer success. These subtests are not covered on the SAT, and continuing to maintain them would likely negate any cost savings in switching to the SAT. Third, switching to the SAT will not help improve the racial and gender diversity of officers or pilots. Finally, we discuss the possibility of using other valid selection tools in addition to the AFOQT, such as interviews, biodata, and personality tests (see pp. 41–47).

¹ The SAT (formerly Scholastic Aptitude Test and Scholastic Assessment Test) is a standardized college entrance exam used in the United States.