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Executive Summary

Background and rationale

In recent years the European Commission has gradually moved towards a more evidence-based policymaking approach. The underlying assumption is that policy decisions based on systematic evidence produce better outcomes.

With the move towards evidence-based policymaking, the need for high-quality data – which can include statistical and qualitative data, but also existing research – has increased. The data needs for the increasingly formalised and institutionalised impact-assessment process are an example of how data needs of modern policymaking have increased.

DG SANCO has already done much to respond to this increased need – including developing its Consumer Markets Scorecard, its health economics database and its health statistics database. Despite these efforts, DG SANCO feels it needs to explore the possibility of having a more coordinated data strategy in order to be able to put the best evidence from research at the heart of policy development, implementation and enforcement.

Developing a conceptual framework for data strategies

Drawing on the literature and 13 case studies of data strategies in different organisations dealing with data (for an overview of the organisations, see Table 0.1 below), we developed a simple framework that allows DG SANCO to think about its own data strategy in a systematic way. We discussed the corresponding key elements and drew out possible lessons for DG SANCO.
The framework we developed represents an idealised, sequential process of developing a data strategy ‘from scratch’. It comprises five key elements (for an illustration see Figure 0.1: below):

1. identification and prioritisation of data needs
2. data collection
3. data management
4. data analysis and communication
5. data use.

The simple idea underlying the data-strategy framework is that the identification and prioritisation of data needs feeds into and determines the collection of data; which in turn feeds into data management (including data storage, verification and compilation); which again feeds into data analysis; which finally informs policymakers and so feeds into use of the data. At the same time we do expect there to be feedback mechanisms that do not follow the sequential model, but link different elements directly.
Lessons for DG SANCO

Using the data-strategy framework developed in the initial stage of the research, the key lessons learned and the recommendations for DG SANCO may be summarised as follows.

Identifying and prioritising data needs

There are several ways of identifying data needs. We distinguished between decentralised and centralised approaches to data identification and discussed the advantages and disadvantages of a systematic approach to identifying data needs, as opposed to an ad hoc approach.

When it comes to the prioritisation of data needs, we found that organisations differ in terms of the degree of formality with which this is done. Some organisations do not prioritise their identified data needs at all; others do so in a very informal way; still others use a formal process. Formal approaches, in turn, may be categorised into top-down and bottom-up approaches.

Lessons for DG SANCO

The main lessons for DG SANCO regarding the identification of data needs that follow from our discussion include the following:

1. Data needs should – where possible – be identified in a systematic way, using appropriate methods to overcome the cognitive limitations that can often act as a barrier to the identification of data needs.

2. To the extent that subject-related and methodological expertise sits with individual policy units, a decentralised system of identifying data needs is generally preferable to a centralised one.

3. A decentralised system of identifying data needs can be complemented with a top-down way of prioritising data needs, which ensures high-level buy-in to empirical work. At the same time, it allows the collection of the data needed to answer the most pressing policy questions.

Collecting data

When it comes to collecting data, we distinguished between organisations that collect data internally, externally and by means of a downstream agency; and organisations that collaborate with other organisations in data collection.
We discussed some of the pros and cons (in terms of costs and timeliness) of organising data collection in one of these ways. With regard to the question of what data to collect, or where to collect them from, we distinguished between primary data collection, a hybrid type of data collection (which relies on Member States and/or agencies to collect data) and secondary data collection.

We found that the hybrid form of data collection is the most popular of these – in particular if, as is often the case, primary data collection is not available. Regardless of which approach is chosen, basically all organisations stress the importance of having quality controls in place to organise the data collection. The main approaches used include peer review, pilot studies and transparency with regard to the collection approach, coverage, response rates and other essential characteristics of the collection process.

Lessons for DG SANCO

The lessons for DG SANCO regarding the collection of data that follow from our discussion include the following:

4. The organisation of data collection should take costs into account and rely primarily on collaborative collection efforts. Only where this is not possible on external (internal) data collection efforts if the same type of data is collected with low (high) frequency.

5. Similarly, it is advisable to rely on secondary data collection when possible and to collect primary data only where secondary data are not available/suitable. At the same time, the case study on the UK Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) illustrates that generally fewer relationships / secondary data sources are preferable to many.

6. Probably the most promising approach to assure the quality of data to be collected is to use a peer-review process at the planning and possibly the pilot stage of the data collection. There is good reason to try to involve people responsible for the data analysis in this process.

Data management

In the context of data management, we reviewed the advantages and disadvantages of a centralised/decentralised data-storage system and compared how data verification is handled across the organisations we reviewed.

In addition, we discussed the role of data compilation in the context of the work of different organisations and outlined the main strategies of dealing with identifiable data: not to collect identifiable data in the first place, or, if identifiable data is collected, to make sure that the data are anonymised before being disseminated and that the requestor of the data is legally bound to use the data sensibly.

Lessons for DG SANCO

From our discussion, again several interesting points for DG SANCO emerge. These include the following:

7. DG SANCO could use three main criteria to evaluate whether a centralised or decentralised data-storage system is more appropriate: i) Is there an overlap in the data needs of different policy units; ii) Are data needs rather limited in scope? iii) How timely do the data have to be?
8. Owing to the danger of encountering severe bias if data verification is designed in the wrong way, DG SANCO could benefit from a formal system for this, which could be done either in-house or by out-sourcing.

9. To the extent that DG SANCO intends to use its data for research purposes, there is good reason to take data compilation seriously. WHO, PHAC and OECD offer good examples of how data compilation can be organised (see Box 8).

10. Given the high risk associated with dealing with identifiable data, DG SANCO may want to adopt a policy of collecting data at the lowest level of identification possible, distributing identifiable data only in exceptional cases.

Data analysis

For the analysis stage of data strategies, we reviewed and compared the different organisational approaches to data analysis in terms of who carries out the analysis, how they do so, and how the analysis is quality assured and communicated.

The main distinctions across the organisations in analysing data were the size and capacity of the organisation to carry out data analysis, the cost of having in-house analytical research competencies versus outsourcing, and benefits that are accrued to the organisation if analysis is done in-house (e.g., the accumulation of in-house expertise and streamlining the data strategy from beginning to end).

Lessons for DG SANCO

For the data-analysis stage of a data strategy, DG SANCO could take heed of the following points:

11. DG SANCO could evaluate its internal capability to carry out data analysis, identify where there are gaps in its knowledge, compare the costs of expanding its analytical capabilities relative to the cost of outsourcing / using external contractors, and measure the value added and the benefits of having in-house expertise in data analysis.

12. The merits of a centralised or decentralised approach to data analysis need to be assessed against DG SANCO’s existing organisational structure. Good practice examples for either way of organising data analysis are EHO and DG Enterprise.

13. Good practice in quality assurance for data analysis involves transparency and communication of methodologies (principles, assumptions and methods) and systematic reviews of methodologies. OECD and PHAC provide standards that could be adopted by DG SANCO.

Data use

In terms of data use, as the final element of our data-strategy framework, we found that there are four main users of data and analysis: the general public, government and public bodies/agencies, internal clients and academics.

These organisations use data for four main purposes: to inform policy; to monitor, review and evaluate; for academic use; and for internal use.
Lessons for DG SANCO

14. DG SANCO may want to consider data use and communication as part of an integrated data strategy and;

15. Identify the main users of its data-analysis products to ensure the wide and effective use and uptake of DG SANCO work

16. Understand how and for what purpose the end users use the analysis – eg by using SANCO internal workshops

17. Develop mechanisms to reach and involve users – tested examples include Scenario Logic and Scenario Gaming workshops.

Revising the linear model

Whilst in the early chapters we implicitly assume a linear model of data strategies – moving from identifying and prioritising data needs to collecting data, managing data, analysing data and using it – in the final chapter of this report we explicitly discuss some of the nonlinearities involved in data strategies.

More specifically, we argue that all (later) stages of a data strategy have bearing on the identification and prioritisation of data needs; that data management and analysis influence data collection; that data analysis influences data management; and that data use has some bearing on the analysis stage of data.

Lessons for DG SANCO

Several lessons for DG SANCO follow from our discussion in this chapter:

18. DG SANCO may want to structure its identification and prioritisation of data needs into three parts: i) identification of data needs; ii) feasibility; and iii) prioritisation. Policy analysts would be involved in part i), people responsible for collection and management in part ii) and senior management in part iii).

19. A formal system of workshops that allow people from the different stages to interact with one another – in particular those from data collection, management and analysis and data analysis and management – could be beneficial to DG SANCO.