



PROJECT AIR FORCE

CHILDREN AND FAMILIES
EDUCATION AND THE ARTS
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT
HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE
INFRASTRUCTURE AND
TRANSPORTATION
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
LAW AND BUSINESS
NATIONAL SECURITY
POPULATION AND AGING
PUBLIC SAFETY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
TERRORISM AND
HOMELAND SECURITY

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit institution that helps improve policy and decisionmaking through research and analysis.

This electronic document was made available from www.rand.org as a public service of the RAND Corporation.

Skip all front matter: [Jump to Page 1](#) ▼

Support RAND

[Purchase this document](#)

[Browse Reports & Bookstore](#)

[Make a charitable contribution](#)

For More Information

Visit RAND at www.rand.org

Explore [RAND Project AIR FORCE](#)

View [document details](#)

Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Unauthorized posting of RAND electronic documents to a non-RAND website is prohibited. RAND electronic documents are protected under copyright law. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please see [RAND Permissions](#).

This product is part of the RAND Corporation technical report series. Reports may include research findings on a specific topic that is limited in scope; present discussions of the methodology employed in research; provide literature reviews, survey instruments, modeling exercises, guidelines for practitioners and research professionals, and supporting documentation; or deliver preliminary findings. All RAND reports undergo rigorous peer review to ensure that they meet high standards for research quality and objectivity.

R E P O R T



Methodology for Constructing a Modernization Roadmap for Air Force Automatic Test Systems

Lionel A. Galway, Rachel Rue, James M. Masters,
Ben D. Van Roo, Manuel Carrillo, Amy L. Maletic,
John G. Drew

Prepared for the United States Air Force

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



PROJECT AIR FORCE

The research described in this report was sponsored by the United States Air Force under Contract FA7014-06-C-0001. Further information may be obtained from the Strategic Planning Division, Directorate of Plans, Hq USAF.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Methodology for constructing a modernization roadmap for Air Force automatic test systems / Lionel A. Galway
... [et al.].

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-0-8330-5899-7 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. United States. Air Force—Weapons systems—Testing. I. Galway, Lionel A., 1950-

UG633.M3445 2012

358.4'18—dc23

2012004616

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit institution that helps improve policy and decisionmaking through research and analysis. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors.

RAND® is a registered trademark.

© Copyright 2012 RAND Corporation

Permission is given to duplicate this document for personal use only, as long as it is unaltered and complete. Copies may not be duplicated for commercial purposes. Unauthorized posting of RAND documents to a non-RAND Web site is prohibited. RAND documents are protected under copyright law. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please visit the RAND permissions page (<http://www.rand.org/publications/permissions.html>).

Published 2012 by the RAND Corporation

1776 Main Street, P.O. Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138

1200 South Hayes Street, Arlington, VA 22202-5050

4570 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2665

RAND URL: <http://www.rand.org>

To order RAND documents or to obtain additional information, contact

Distribution Services: Telephone: (310) 451-7002;

Fax: (310) 451-6915; Email: order@rand.org

Summary

Virtually all the electronics in an Air Force weapon system are tested using automatic test equipment, much of which is unique to that weapon system. However, the Air Force's ATSs are currently beset by increasing hardware and software obsolescence, which is compounded by the number and variety of legacy ATS types. In response to an overall Department of Defense policy, the Air Force is planning to modernize its component repair capabilities, rehosting them on a much smaller number of modern common testing systems. This report focuses on the economic aspect of the rehosting decision, i.e., which component repairs should be rehosted to use resources most efficiently while maintaining repair capabilities.¹

Our approach was to formulate rehosting decisions for each legacy ATS for each associated unit under test (UUT).² These decisions needed to take into account three sets of information: the projected UUT workload for old and new ATSs; the cost to operate, maintain, and sustain the new and legacy systems; and the nonrecurring cost of rehosting the UUTs on a new ATS. To select an optimal rehosting strategy given the costs and constraints, we formulated a mixed integer linear program. As a test case, we selected a set of six avionics ATS types that collectively repair a selected set of 470 UUTs from the B-1B, focusing on a single weapon system with a small number of operating locations to facilitate data collection.

Our analysis showed that the major driver of rehosting cost is that of rewriting the software programs to run on the new ATS to test each UUT (currently estimated at \$300,000 to \$1 million, depending on the unit's complexity). This implies that good candidates for rehosting should have

1. very high and increasing maintenance costs and obsolescence issues
2. a relatively small number of UUTs repaired.

An example in our analysis is the contrast between the Radar Electronic Warfare (REW) test station and the Depot Automated Test System for Avionics (DATSA). Both have very high sustainment costs (more than \$2 million per year), but the DATSA repairs 350 UUTs, while the REW repairs 22.³ Total estimated rehosting costs would be \$22 million for the REW but \$111 million for the DATSA. The REW is therefore close to the point at which it

¹ Modern common test stations offer other advantages, such as a more flexible workforce and the ability to share workload across depots, which we did not include in our analysis.

² The UUT is the electronic system component an ATS tests.

³ Note that the B-1B avionics suite includes more UUTs than we examined and that the ATSs for some of these, notably the DATSA, repair more UUTs than those in our study.

would be cost-effective to rehost its entire workload over a ten-year period. On the other hand, sustainment costs for the DATSA would have to increase substantially to warrant complete rehosting of its workload.

In some situations, an incremental rehosting strategy may be justified:

- Rehosting a small number of UUTs may substantially reduce the total annual workload for a particular ATS type (the idled systems may be used as spares or a source of compatible components).
- The need for significant software modifications to support sustainment engineering for a legacy ATS may provide an opportunity for transition. In such cases, the software costs are essentially sunk, and rehosting the UUTs on a modern ATS may well be life-cycle cost-effective.

In some cases, numbers of a particular ATS type may be very limited, and those may not be sustainable (catastrophic failure). Rehosting the entire workload may be the only option (although doing so may take some time because of manpower and other constraints).

If the Air Force is to manage ATSs centrally, it needs ongoing access to much better data. For example, there is substantial uncertainty about the costs for legacy ATSs and other data required to use our approach. This is particularly true of software translation costs, for which more detailed information on actual translation costs might help make estimates more accurate.

The long-term benefits of modernized and common testing equipment make a strong case for making common families be the foundation of ATS acquisition on future platforms. The methodology can also be used to calculate a roadmap for rehosting the workloads for current platforms that will be phased out or reduced in the near term (10–20 years).